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#### Abstract

We exploit the technique of Galvin (1995) to prove that an orientation $D$ of a line-graph $G$ (of a multigraph) is kernel-perfect if and only if every oriented odd cycle in $D$ has a chord (or pseudochord) and every clique has a kernel. (c) 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved
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## 1. Introduction

A kernel in a digraph $D=(V, A)$ is an independent set $S$ of vertices such that $S$ absorbs $V$, i.e. for each $v \in V \backslash S$, there exists an $s \in S$ with $(v, s) \in A$. Kernels originated in the analysis of games on graphs, a kernel representing a set of winning positions. Many digraphs fail to have kernels, the simplest being the directed odd cycles.

A digraph $D=(V, A)$ is called kernel-perfect if every induced subgraph of it has a kernel. By the paragraph above, each kernel-perfect digraph $D$ satisfies the property
every directed odd cycle in $D$ has a chord (or pseudochord).
(By a pseudochord of a directed cycle $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ we mean an arc ( $v_{i}, v_{i-1}$ ) for some i.) Another necessary condition for a kernel-perfect digraph $D$ is that $D$ is normal, i.e. every clique in $D$ has a kernel (which necessarily has cardinality 1 , i.e. is a vertex) (By a clique we mean any subgraph where any two vertices are joined by at least one arc.) A normal digraph $D$ satisfying (1) will be called odd-chorded.

[^0]Remark. If $D$ has no opposite arcs then satisfying (1) implies that $D$ is normal (and hence odd-chorded).

For a digraph in general, being odd-chorded is not sufficient for being kernel-perfect. Galeana-Sánchez [2] constructed for each $k$ a triangle-free digraph $D_{k}$ with no kernel such that every directed odd cycle in $D_{k}$ has at least $k$ chords. Still, under some restrictions on the structure of the underlying unoriented graph of a digraph $D$, being odd-chorded is enough for being kernel-perfect.

Berge and Duchet [1] conjectured that every normal orientation of a perfect graph is kernel-perfect. This conjecture is proved only for some special classes of perfect graphs (see [4] for references). In particular, Maffray [4] proved it for perfect graphs which are line-graphs. Since every odd cycle of length at least five in a perfect graph has a chord, Maffray's theorem [4] is equivalent to the statement that an orientation of a perfect line-graph is kernel-perfect if and only if it is odd-chorded.

In his remarkable paper [3] on list edge-colourings of bipartite multigraphs, Galvin presented, among other ideas, a beautiful proof of the fact that normal orientations of line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs are kernel-perfect. (In fact, Galvin considered line-multigraphs: if edges $f$ and $g$ have two common ends in a multigraph $H$, then the corresponding vertices in $L(H)$ are joined by two edges. We shall do the same.) In this note, we elaborate Galvin's argument to prove the following extension of Maffray's theorem.

Theorem 1. Let a multigraph $G$ be the line-graph $L(H)$ of a multigraph $H$. Then an orientation $D$ of $G$ is kernel-perfect if and only if it is odd-chorded.

Observe that an orientation $D$ of the line-graph $L(H)$ of a multigraph $H$ is oddchorded if and only if it is normal and for each odd cycle $C$ in $H$, the cycle $L(C)$ in $D$ is not a directed cycle.

## 2. Proof of the theorem

We prove the theorem by induction on the number of edges in $H$. For $H$ with $|E(H)|=1$, the theorem holds.

Let $H$ be a smallest (wrt. the number of edges) counter-example to the theorem, and $D$ be an odd-chorded orientation of $L(H)$. By the minimality of $H$, we may assume that $D$ has no kernel.

Since $D$ is normal, for each $v \in V(H)$, each edge $e$ incident with $v$ can be labelled by a number $l_{v}(e)$ so that different edges get different labels and

$$
l_{v}\left(e^{\prime}\right)<l_{v}\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { implies that }\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { is an arc in } D .
$$

For every vertex $v \in V(H)$, let $e(v)$ denote the edge incident to $v$ with the maximum label $l_{v}(e)$.

If for some distinct $v$ and $w, e(v)=e(w)$, then by the choice of $H$, the restriction of $D$ on $L(H-\{v, w\})$ has a kernel $Q$. It follows that $Q \cup\{e(v)\}$ is a kernel in $D$. Thus,
all $e(v)$ are distinct.
Let $M=\{e(v) \mid v \in V(H)\}$. Due to (2), the ends of any $e \in M$ can be marked as $x(e)$ and $y(e)$, where $e$ is $e(x(e))$ and is not $e(y(e))$.

Case 1. For some $e_{1} \in M$, there exists $e_{2} \in E(H)$ incident with $y\left(e_{1}\right)$ such that $l_{y\left(e_{1}\right)}\left(e_{2}\right)<l_{y\left(e_{1}\right)}\left(e_{1}\right)$.

Choose $e_{2}$ incident with $y\left(e_{1}\right)$ having minimum $l_{y\left(e_{1}\right)}\left(e_{2}\right)$.
As in Galvin's proof [3], delete $e_{2}$ and by induction get a kernel $Q$ in $D-e_{2}$, which turns out to be a kernel in $D$, too. Indeed, no vertex in $D$ corresponding to an edge in $H$ non-incident with $y\left(e_{1}\right)$ absorbs $e_{1}$. Hence, any vertex $e_{3}$ in $Q$ absorbing $e_{1}$ corresponds to an edge in $H$ incident with $y\left(e_{1}\right)$ (possibly, $e_{3}=e_{1}$ ). But then by the choice of $l_{y\left(e_{1}\right)}\left(e_{2}\right), e_{3}$ absorbs $e_{2}$, as well.

Case 2. For each $e_{1} \in M$ and every $e_{2} \in E(H)$ incident with $y\left(e_{1}\right)$ and distinct from $e_{1}, l_{y\left(e_{1}\right)}\left(e_{1}\right)<l_{y\left(e_{1}\right)}\left(e_{2}\right)$.

In particular, all $y(e)$ should be distinct. Since $|M|=|V(H)|$, each vertex of $H$ is the $y(e)$ for some $e \in M$. Thus, $M$ forms a 2 -factor in $H$. If at least one of the cycles formed by $M$ is odd, we are done. Let all the cycles formed by $M$ be even. For each $v \in V(H)$, denote by $a(v)$ the label $l_{v}(e)$ of the edge $e \in M$ with $v=y(e)$, and by $z(v)$ the label $l_{t}\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ of the edge $e^{\prime} \in M$ with $v=x\left(e^{\prime}\right)$. In these terms, the conditions of the case can be rewritten as follows: for each edge $e=(v, w)$ in $H-M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(v)<l_{l}(e)<z(v), \quad a(w)<l_{w}(e)<z(w) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we shall run a procedure for finding special subsets of $V(H)$, and according to its results either show a kernel in $D$ or find there an odd directed cycle without chords. In both cases, it will contradict the definition of $H$.

Step 0 . Among cycles formed by the edges in $M$, choose an arbitrary cycle $C_{1}$ $=\left(v(1,1), \ldots, v\left(1,2 r_{1}\right)\right)$. Put $W_{1}=\left\{v(1,2 j) \mid 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r_{1}\right\}, B_{1}=V\left(C_{1}\right) \backslash W_{1}$. Go to Step 1 .

Step $k(k \geqslant 1)$. The procedure terminates if either
(i) $W_{k}$ is not independent in $H$; or
(ii) no vertex in $V(H) \backslash\left(B_{k} \cup W_{k}\right)$ is adjacent to $W_{k}$ (in particular, if $V(H)=B_{k} \cup W_{k}$ ).

Otherwise, choose a vertex $v \in V(H) \backslash\left(B_{k} \cup W_{k}\right)$ adjacent to $W_{k}$. Let $C_{k+1}=$ $\left(v(k+1,1), \ldots, v\left(k+1,2 r_{k+1}\right)\right)$ be the cycle formed by the edges in $M$ containing $v$. Renumber the vertices in $C_{k+1}$ so that $v=v(k+1,1)$. Put $W_{k+1}=W_{k} \cup\{v(k+1,2 j) \mid$ $\left.1 \leqslant j \leqslant r_{k+1}\right\}, B_{k+1}=B_{k} \cup V\left(C_{k+1}\right) \backslash W_{k+1}$. Go to Step $k+1$.

By the definition, the number of steps is at most the number of the cycles formed by the edges in $M$. Let the procedure terminate on Step $m$. Assume first that $W_{m}$ is independent in $H$. Then each edge in $H$ incident with $W_{m}$ is also incident with $B_{m}$. By the minimality of $H$, the subgraph of $D$ induced by the edges of $H^{\prime}=H-W_{m}-B_{m}$ has a kernel $Q$. By the construction, the set $M^{\prime}=\left\{e(v) \mid v \in B_{m}\right\}$ is a matching in $H$ and
absorbs all the vertices in $D$ corresponding to edges incident with $B_{m}$. Thus, $Q \cup M^{\prime}$ is a kernel in $D$, a contradiction.

Now assume that $W_{m}$ is not independent in $H$. Let $e=(a, b)$ be such that $a, b \in W_{m}$. We may assume that $a \in V\left(C_{q}\right)$ and $b \in V\left(C_{p}\right)$ for some $1 \leqslant p, q \leqslant m$ (in fact, at least one of $q$ and $p$ is $m$ ). If $p=q$, then our odd cycle is formed by ( $a, b$ ) and the part of $C_{p}$ connecting $b$ with $a$ and such that for the first edge $e$ of this path, $x(e)=b$. Let $p \neq q$. Note that all vertices in $B_{m}$ have odd indices in cycles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$ and all vertices in $W_{m}$ have even indices in these cycles. Moreover, for each $k, 2 \leqslant k \leqslant m$, there is a number $f(k), 1 \leqslant f(k) \leqslant k-1$ such that $v(k, 1)$ is adjacent to a vertex $w(k) \in V\left(C_{f(k)}\right) \cap W_{f(k)}$. Thus, there exist sequences $1=j_{1,1}<j_{1,2}<\cdots<j_{1, s_{1}}=q$ and $1=j_{2,1}<j_{2,2}<\cdots<j_{2, s_{2}}=p$ such that $j_{1, i}=f\left(j_{1, i+1}\right)$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s_{1}-1$ and $j_{2, i}=$ $f\left(j_{2, i+1}\right)$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s_{2}-1$. Let $h=j_{1, t(1)}=j_{2, t(2)}$ be the largest common number in sequences $j_{1,1}, j_{1,2}, \ldots, j_{1, s_{1}}$ and $j_{2,1}, j_{2,2}, \ldots, j_{2, s_{2}}$. Vertices $w\left(j_{1, t(1)+1}\right)$ and $w\left(j_{2, t(2)+1}\right)$ lying on $C_{h}$ may coincide. In this case we may assume that in $D$ the arc connecting vertices corresponding to the edges $\left(v\left(j_{1, t(1)+1}, 1\right), w\left(j_{1, t(1)+1}\right)\right)$ and $\left(v\left(j_{2, t(2)+1}, 1\right)\right.$, $\left.w\left(j_{2, t(2)+1}\right)\right)$ leads towards $\left(v\left(j_{1, t(1)+1}, 1\right), w\left(j_{1, t(1)+1}\right)\right)$. Now we define some pieces of a future odd directed cycle. For each $i, t(1)+1 \leqslant i \leqslant s_{1}-1$, let $P_{i}$ be the part of $C_{j_{1, i}}$ connecting $v\left(j_{1, i}, 1\right)$ with $w\left(j_{1, i+1}\right)$ and such that for the first edge $e$ of this path, $x(e)=v\left(j_{1, i}, 1\right)$. By $P_{s_{1}}$ denote the part of $C_{q}$ connecting $v\left(j_{1, s_{1}}, 1\right)=v(q, 1)$ with $a$ and such that for the first edge $e$ of this path, $x(e)=v(q, 1)$. Furthermore, let $P_{s_{2}}^{\prime}$ denote the part of $C_{p}$ connecting $b$ with $v\left(j_{2, s_{2}}, 1\right)=v(p, 1)$ and such that for the first edge $e$ of this path, $x(e)=b$. Then for each $i, t(2)+1 \leqslant i \leqslant s_{2}-1$, let $P_{i}^{\prime}$ be the part of $C_{j_{2, i}}$ connecting $w\left(j_{2, i+1}\right)$ with $v\left(j_{2, i}, 1\right)$ and such that for the first edge $e$ of this path, $x(e)=w\left(j_{2, i+1}\right)$. If $w\left(j_{1, t(1)+1}\right)=w\left(j_{2, t(2)+1}\right)$ then we define $P_{0}=\emptyset$, otherwise let $P_{0}$ be the part of $C_{h}$ connecting $w\left(j_{2, t(2)+1}\right)$ with $w\left(j_{1, t(1)+1}\right)$ and such that for the first edge $e$ of this path, $x(e)=w\left(j_{2, t(2)+1}\right)$. Our odd cycle $C$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C= & w\left(j_{1, t(1)+1}\right), v\left(j_{1, t(1)+1}, 1\right) P_{t(1)+1} w\left(j_{1, t(1)+2}\right), \ldots, \\
& v\left(j_{1, s_{1}}, 1\right) P_{s_{1}} a, b P_{s_{2}}^{\prime} v\left(j_{2, s_{2}}, 1\right), w\left(j_{2, s_{2}}\right) P_{s_{2}-1}^{\prime} \cdots \\
& v\left(j_{2, t(2)+1}^{\prime}, 1\right), w\left(j_{2, t(2)+1}\right) P_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3), the cycle in $D$ whose vertices correspond to the edges of $C$ is a directed odd cycle (without chords).

## 3. Concluding remarks

A number of results on line-graphs can be extended to graphs without induced $K_{1,3}$ or without induced $K_{1,3}$ and $K_{5}-e$. It looks as though this is not the case here. Indeed, consider the digraph $D_{7}=(V, E)$ with $V=\{1, \ldots, 7\}$ and $E=\{(i, i+1)$, $(i, i+2) \mid i=1, \ldots, 7\}$ (indices are taken $\bmod 7$ ). Since $D_{7}$ is an orientation of the complement of the 7 -cycle $C_{7}$, it contains neither $K_{4}$ nor $K_{1,3}$. It is clear that any chordless directed cycle $C$ in $D_{7}$ makes exactly one round around $\{1, \ldots, 7\}$. To make this round, any such $C$ needs at least four arcs. If it has at least five arcs then among
them there are two consecutive arcs of length one, and $C$ has a chord. Thus, $D_{7}$ is odd-chorded. Assume that $M$ is a kernel in $D_{7}$. Since any vertex in $D_{7}$ absorbs 3 vertices (counting itself), $|M| \geqslant 3$. But $C_{7}$ has no 3-cliques, a contradiction.

In fact, the proof contains a polynomial algorithm to find a kernel in an arbitrary odd-chorded orientation of a line-graph. It could be noted that Galvin's proof gives a polynomial algorithm for finding list-colourings of edges if their lists are as big as the maximum degree.
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