

Nilpotent Families of Endomorphisms of $(\mathcal{P}(V)^+, \cup)$

D. Fon-Der-Flaass¹

Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

A. Kostochka²

*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801;
and Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia*
E-mail: kostochk@math.uiuc.edu

J. Nešetřil³

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Institute of Theoretical Computer Sciences (ITI),
Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*

and

A. Raspaud⁴ and E. Sopena⁵

LaBRI, Université Bordeaux I, 33405 Talence Cedex, France

Received September 8, 1999; published online July 8, 2002

A directed graph $G = (V, A)$ is *k-nice* if for every $u, v \in V$ (allowing $t = v$), and for every orientation of the edges of an undirected path of length k , there exists a $u - v$ walk of length k in G whose orientation coincides with that of the given path. A graph is *nice* if it is *k-nice* for some k . We generalize this notion using the notion of a nilpotent semigroup of endomorphisms of $(\mathcal{P}(V)^+, \cup)$, and consider two basic problems:

(1) find bounds for the nilpotency class of such semigroups in terms of their generators (in the language of graphs: provided that a graph G on n vertices is nice, find the smallest k such that G is *k-nice*);

(2) find a way to demonstrate non-nilpotency of such semigroups (find as simple as possible characterization of non-nice graphs). © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA)

¹This work was partially supported by the Grants 99-01-00581 and 97-01-01075 of the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research.

²This work was partially supported by the Grant Intas-Open-97-1001 and the Dutch-Russian Grant NWO-047-008-006.

³Partially supported by the Project LN00A056 of the Czech Ministry of Education.

⁴This work was partially supported by the BARRANDE Programme 97-137.

⁵This research was partly supported by the NATO Collaborative Research Grant 97-1519.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a nice graph was implicitly used in the papers [1, 2, 5] as a useful tool for studying oriented chromatic number of graphs. In [3], nice graphs were studied for their own sake, and some generalizations were introduced.

A directed graph G is called k -nice if for every two vertices u, v (allowing $u = v$), and for every orientation of the edges of the path of length k , there exists a $u - v$ walk of length k in G whose orientation of edges coincides with the given one. A directed graph G is nice if it is k -nice for some positive integer k (note that every k -nice graph is $(k + 1)$ -nice). Similarly, an undirected (multi)graph G whose edges are coloured by c colours is called k -nice if for every two vertices u, v (allowing $u = v$), and for every edge colouring of the path of length k with c colours, there exists a $u - v$ walk of length k in G whose colouring coincides with the given one. Such a (multi)graph is nice if it is k -nice for some positive integer k .

In [3], characterizations of non-nice graphs in terms of the so-called “black holes” (see the next section) were found. It was proved that in every non-nice graph there exist black holes with a simple structure (especially simple for uncoloured directed graphs). A polynomial algorithm was given to recognize nice directed graphs.

In this note we treat “niceness” of graphs (in both senses) as a special case of a more general and natural notion of nilpotency of semigroups of endomorphisms of the upper semilattice $(\mathcal{P}(V)^+, \cup)$ of all non-empty subsets of a finite set V of size n . In fact, this notion corresponds to nice edge-coloured directed (multi)graphs, and a particular case of this problem (in a different setting of matrix theory) was studied by Wielandt [6] and Holladay and Varga [4].

In Section 4 we prove that in this general case the simplest black holes (obstacles to niceness) can be more complicated than in previously considered cases.

In Section 3 we address the following question: *What is the minimum $k = k(n)$ such that every nice graph on n vertices is k -nice?* In other words, we establish some bounds for the nilpotency class of such semigroups in terms of their generators, and the size n of the ground set. We show that the nilpotency class can be exponentially large if the number of generators is n . The main result is a polynomial in n upper bound on the nilpotency class when the generators have a special form. As a corollary this gives polynomial in n upper bounds on k for uncoloured directed nice graphs and 2-edge-coloured undirected (multi)graphs.

2. NILPOTENT FAMILIES

Let V be a finite set, $|V| = n$. By $\mathcal{P}(V)^+$ we denote the set of all non-empty subsets of V . We consider endomorphisms of the semi-lattice $(\mathcal{P}(V)^+, \cup)$, that is, mappings $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(V)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(V)^+$ satisfying the identity

$$\varphi(X \cup Y) = \varphi(X) \cup \varphi(Y).$$

Every such mapping φ is uniquely determined by the values it takes on one-element subsets:

$$\varphi(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \varphi(\{x\}).$$

It is often convenient to view such mappings as directed graphs on the vertex set V in which (x, y) is an arc if and only if $y \in \varphi(\{x\})$. Occasionally, we shall abuse the notation by writing $\varphi(x)$ instead of $\varphi(\{x\})$. Thus endomorphisms of $(\mathcal{P}(V)^+, \cup)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with directed graphs on V .

The set $M(V)$ of all endomorphisms of the semi-lattice $(\mathcal{P}(V)^+, \cup)$ forms a semigroup under composition of mappings; as usual in this context, we shall refer to composition as *product*. The semigroup has a right zero element Ω , $\Omega(X) = V$ for all $X \in \mathcal{P}(V)^+$. In fact, Ω is the unique two-sided zero of $M_\Omega(V)$, the sub-semigroup of those elements φ for which $\varphi(V) = V$.

Let $A = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r\} \subseteq M_\Omega(V)$ be any collection of endomorphisms. By A^k we denote the set of all products $x_1 \cdots x_k$ of k elements from A . The collection A is called *nilpotent of class k* , or *k -nilpotent*, if $A^k = \{\Omega\}$ for some $k > 0$. This terminology is in accordance with traditional usage of the word “nilpotent.” Obviously, if A is k -nilpotent then it is m -nilpotent for every $m > k$.

Observe that $A = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r\}$ is nilpotent if the directed graphs corresponding to endomorphisms $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r$ form together a k -nice coloured directed multigraph, that is a directed multigraph G with arcs coloured with r colours such that for every $u, v \in V(G)$ and every arc colouring of the oriented path of length k with r colours, there exists an oriented $u - v$ walk with the given colouring of arcs.

Following [3], we say that $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq V$ is a *black hole* for φ if $\varphi(X) = X$; if $X \neq V$ then the black hole is called *non-trivial*. Note that if for some X we have $\varphi(X) \subseteq X$ then some non-empty subset of $\varphi(X)$ is a black hole. In particular, if $\varphi(V) \neq V$ then φ has a non-trivial black hole.

It is easy to see that A is nilpotent if and only if no composition of mappings from A has a black hole. If A is nilpotent then, starting from any non-empty subset $X \subseteq V$ and applying mappings from A in any sequence, we eventually shall reach the whole set V , and in not more than $2^n - 2$ steps (because each proper subset of V can appear at most once; otherwise it

would be a black hole for some composition of mappings from A). Thus, every nilpotent family is $2^n - 2$ -nilpotent.

For some nilpotent collections, $2^n - 2$ steps may be necessary. Here is an example. Let X_1, \dots, X_{2^n-1} be any linear extension of $\mathcal{P}(V)^+$ ordered by inclusion. For $i = 1, \dots, 2^n - 2$ the mapping φ_i is defined by $\varphi_i(x) = X_{i+1}$ if $x \in X_i$, $\varphi_i(x) = V$ if $x \notin X_i$. Then the system $A = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{2^n-2}\}$ is nilpotent, and it takes exactly $2^n - 2$ steps to transform X_1 into $X_{2^n-1} = V$ if we take the mappings in their order. Later, in Example 3, we shall see that by being more careful we can obtain the same number of steps for a nilpotent family of only n different mappings.

3. BOUNDS FOR NILPOTENCY CLASS

In this section, we obtain polynomial upper bounds for the nilpotency class when the collection A is small and/or satisfies some additional properties.

A mapping ψ is called *opposite to φ* if for all $x, y \in V$, $y \in \varphi(\{x\})$ exactly when $x \in \psi(\{y\})$; and is called *symmetric* if it is opposite to itself. These notions naturally arise in the graph-theoretical setting: taking the opposite of a mapping corresponds to inverting all arcs of a directed graph; and symmetric mappings correspond to simple (undirected) graphs.

The case of A consisting of only one mapping was considered by Wielandt [6] and Holladay and Varga [4] in the language of matrices. In graph language, this case corresponds to graphs with uncoloured edges.

We start from two examples of nilpotent mappings. In all examples, we let $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with its natural order.

EXAMPLE 1. Let the mapping a correspond to the path $0 - 1 - \dots - (n-1)$ with a loop at the vertex $n-1$; this mapping is symmetric. The single-element collection $\{a\}$ is nilpotent, and if we start with the set $X = \{0\}$, we reach V only after $2n - 2$ steps.

EXAMPLE 2. Let a correspond to the directed graph formed from the oriented cycle $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n-1 \rightarrow 0$ and an extra arc $(n-1) \rightarrow 1$. Again, $\{a\}$ is nilpotent, and if we start with the set $X = \{0\}$, we reach V only after $n(n-2)$ steps.

We now give an easy proof of the results of [4, 6] that these examples are extremal.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $n \geq 4$ and $A = \{\varphi\}$. If A is nilpotent then it is $n(n-2)$ -nilpotent. If, moreover, φ is symmetric then A is $(2n-2)$ -nilpotent.*

Proof. Take a longest sequence X_0, X_1, \dots, X_m such that $X_{i+1} = \varphi(X_i)$ and $X_m \neq V$, and suppose, by way of contradiction, that $m \geq n(n-2)$. We may assume that $X_0 = \{x\}$ is a one-element set. The directed graph G corresponding to φ must be strongly connected (otherwise there is a non-trivial black hole for φ). Let C be a shortest oriented cycle in G and $k = |C|$. Let t be the length of a shortest (oriented) path from x to C and $y \in V(C)$ be the end vertex of such a path (if $x \in V(C)$, then $y = x$). Define $Y_t = \{y\}$ and $Y_{i+1} = \varphi(Y_i)$ for $i \geq t$. Then $y \in Y_{t+k}$, so we have $Y_t \subseteq Y_{t+k}$ and $Y_t \subseteq X_t$. The mapping φ preserves the relation of one set being a subset of another; therefore, applying it several times, we obtain that $Y_{t+j} \subseteq X_{t+j}$ for every $j \geq 0$, and that

$$Y_t \subseteq Y_{t+k} \subseteq Y_{t+2k} \subseteq \dots \subseteq Y_{t+(n-1)k}.$$

All inclusions in this chain are strict (otherwise we would have a black hole); and so $|X_{t+(n-1)k}| \geq |Y_{t+(n-1)k}| \geq n$. Thus, $X_{t+(n-1)k} = V$. If $k \leq n-2$, then $t + (n-1)k \leq (n-k) + (n-1)k \leq 2 + (n-1)(n-2) \leq n(n-2)$, contrary to our assumption about m . If $k = n$, then G is an oriented cycle which corresponds to a non-nilpotent mapping. Let $k = n-1$ and $z \in V(G) - C$. Let v be an in-neighbour of z and w be an out-neighbour of z . Let s be the distance on C from v to w . By the choice of C , $s \leq 2$. If $s = 1$, then G contains the graph of Example 2 as a subgraph and thus A is $n(n-2)$ -nilpotent. The only unexplored possibility is that z has only one in-neighbour v , only one out-neighbour w , and the distance from v to w on C is 2. But then G is obtained from C by duplicating a vertex, and a mapping corresponding to such a graph is not nilpotent. The first part is proved.

If φ is symmetric then the above argument holds with the values $k = 2$ and $t = 0$, which proves the second claim. ■

When $|A| \geq 2$, the situation gets more complicated.

A mapping φ is called *increasing* if $X \subseteq \varphi(X)$ for every $X \subseteq V$. Note that if φ is increasing and nilpotent then it is strictly increasing; i.e. X is a proper subset of $\varphi(X)$. Also, if φ^+, φ^- are opposite then $\varphi^+ \varphi^-$ is increasing.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $A = \{a, b, c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ be a nilpotent collection such that the mappings ab, ba , and all c_i are increasing. Then A is n^3 -nilpotent.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary sequence (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m) of mappings from A , an arbitrary non-empty $X_0 \subseteq V$, and let $X_i = f_i(X_{i-1})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. To each set X_i we assign a level, an integer value l_i , defined as follows:

$$l_0 = 0;$$

$$\text{if } f_i = a \text{ then } l_i = l_{i-1} + 1;$$

if $f_i = b$ then $l_i = l_{i-1} - 1$;
 if $f_i = c_j$ then $l_i = l_{i-1}$.

Now we shall prove two claims, from which the proposition will immediately follow. Let $0 \leq p < q \leq m$.

CLAIM 1. *If $l_q = l_p$ and $X_p \neq V$ then X_p is a proper subset of X_q .*

We shall prove this claim by induction on $q - p$. If $q - p = 1$ then $f_q = c_j$ is increasing, as required. If $l_{p+1} \neq l_{q-1}$ then there is an r such that $p < r < q$ and $l_r = l_p$, and we apply the induction hypothesis to (p, r) and (r, q) . Finally, let $l_{p+1} = l_{q-1} \neq l_p$. We can assume that $f_{p+1} = a$, $f_q = b$ (the other case is similar). By induction, we have $X_{p+1} \subseteq X_{q-1}$. So,

$$X_p \subset b(a(X_p)) = b(X_{p+1}) \subseteq b(X_{q-1}) = X_q$$

and the claim is proved. (Here the first inclusion is proper since X_p is not a black hole.)

CLAIM 2. *If $|l_q - l_p| \geq n(n - 1)$ then $X_q = V$.*

Let $l_q = l_p + n(n - 1)$; the other case is treated similarly. For $i = 0, \dots, n(n - 1)$ let p_i be the smallest index such that $p \leq p_i \leq q$ and $l_{p_i} = l_p + i$. In particular, $p_0 = p$. For every $i = 1, \dots, n(n - 1)$ we have $l_{p_{i-1}} = l_p + i - 1 = l_{p_{i-1}}$. So, by Claim 1, we have $X_{p_{i-1}} \subseteq X_{p_i}$; and $a(X_{p_{i-1}}) \subseteq a(X_{p_i}) = X_{p_i}$. All these inclusions together imply that $a^{n(n-1)}(X_p) \subseteq X_{p_{n(n-1)}}$ and by Proposition 1, $X_{p_{n(n-1)}} = V$. The claim is proved.

Now, if some value of the level is assigned to n or more sets then Claim 1 implies that the last of these sets is equal to V . On the other hand, if we have more than $n(n - 1)$ different values of the level, Claim 2 implies that we have reached V . Therefore we shall reach V after at most $n(n - 1)(n - 1)$ steps. ■

THEOREM 3. *Let $A = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$. If A is nilpotent and either both φ_i are symmetric, or they are opposite to each other, then A is $(2n^3)$ -nilpotent.*

Proof. When φ_1 and φ_2 are opposite, Proposition 2 applies immediately, and A is n^3 -nilpotent.

When both mappings are symmetric, define four new mappings: $a = \varphi_1\varphi_2$, $b = \varphi_2\varphi_1$, $c_1 = \varphi_1\varphi_1$, and $c_2 = \varphi_2\varphi_2$. It is easy to check that these mappings satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2. Now, every sequence of $2N$ mappings φ_i can be considered as a sequence of N mappings a, b, c_i . Therefore A is $2n^3$ -nilpotent. ■

The case of φ_1 and φ_2 being opposite corresponds precisely to the original notion of directed nice graphs [3]. Thus, Theorem 3 in this case asserts that “every nice graph on n vertices is n^3 -nice.”

Recall that every nilpotent A on $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ is $(2^n - 2)$ -nilpotent. Our next example shows that if $|A| = n$, then A may be nilpotent but not $(2^n - 3)$ -nilpotent.

EXAMPLE 3. For each $v \in V$ define the mapping a_v as follows: $a_v(\{v\}) = V$, $a_v(\{x\}) = \{v\}$ if $x < v$, $a_v(\{x\}) = \{x, v\}$ if $x > v$. All these mappings are symmetric. Let X_1, \dots, X_{2^n-1} be the lexicographic ordering of $\mathcal{P}(V)^+$; each X_i is the set of positions at which the binary expansion of i has ones.

To make sure that the collection $\{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ is nilpotent, it is enough to check that in this ordering $a_i(X) > X$ for every i and every $X \subset V$. This is straightforward.

On the other hand, for $1 \leq i < 2^n - 1$, let $z(i)$ be the position of the first zero in the binary expansion of i ; or, equivalently, $z(i) = \min\{j \mid j \in V \setminus X_i\}$. Now it is straightforward to check that $a_{z(i)}(X_i) = X_{i+1}$.

Thus, the collection $\{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ is nilpotent of class $2^n - 2$.

Proposition 1 and Theorem 3 together with Example 3 lead to the following natural conjecture.

CONJECTURE. For every natural k there exists an exponent $f(k)$ and a constant $c(k)$ such that every nilpotent family of k endomorphisms of the semilattice $(\mathcal{P}(n)^+, \cup)$ of all non-empty subsets of an n -element set has nilpotency class at most $c(k)n^{f(k)}$.

This conjecture is open even for $k = 2$.

4. BLACK HOLES

Here we consider families of endomorphisms which are not nilpotent. This means that some element of the semigroup generated by the family has a black hole. We say that the family A has a black hole X with a pattern w if w is a word over the alphabet A , and $X \subset V$ is a black hole of the endomorphism corresponding, in the obvious way, to this word.

A non-nilpotent family can have many different patterns of black holes; in particular, every power of a pattern is again a pattern. So, the question arises: what can be said about the shortest pattern of a black hole of a non-nilpotent family? It was proved in [3] that every non-nilpotent family corresponding to an uncoloured directed graph has a black hole with the pattern of length at most two. Here we show that general situation is more complicated.

THEOREM 4. *Let A be a finite alphabet, and w be an arbitrary word over it. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is no word v over A so that $w = v^{pq}$ for a composite number pq .*
- (2) *There exists a set V and a collection of mappings denoted by elements of A such that w is a shortest pattern of any black hole of this collection.*

Proof. (1) \rightarrow (2). Throughout this part of the proof, all arithmetic is modulo n ; this allows a word $x_0x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$ to be thought of as a cycle. Let $w = x_0x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$ and let $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. For $X \subseteq V$ and an integer i , let $X + i = \{x + i \mid x \in X\}$, a cyclic shift of X .

Fix a natural number k . We define the mappings so that every set of k consecutive elements of V —interval of length k —forms a black hole whose pattern is some cyclic shift of w .

For each $v \in V$, set $w_v = x_{v-k+1} \dots x_{v-1}x_v$. If $x \in V$ does not occur in w_v , set $x(\{v\}) = V$. If x does occur in w_v , let i_x and j_x be the first and the last, respectively, of the indices within w_v such that $x_{i_x} = x_{j_x} = x$ and set $x(\{v\}) = \{j_x + 1, j_x + 2, \dots, i_x + k\}$.

Note that $v + 1 \in x(\{v\})$ for every x and v . Therefore, for every $A \subseteq V$ we have $|x(A)| \geq |A|$, and if $|x(A)| = |A|$ then $x(A) = A + 1$.

Let $I = \{v, v + 1, \dots, v + l - 1\}$ be an arbitrary (cyclic) interval of length $l < n$. Its image $x(I)$ under any mapping $x \in A$ is again an interval, because the image of each element is an interval and these intervals, respectively, contain consecutive elements $v + 1, \dots, v + l$. It follows that $x(I) = I + 1$ if and only if $v \notin x(I)$ and $v + l + 1 \notin x(I)$. From the definition of x , we have:

- $v \notin x(v)$ if and only if $x_v = x$,
- $v + l + 1 \notin x(v + l - 1)$ if and only if $x_{v+l-k} = x$.

Conversely, if these two conditions are satisfied then $x(I) = I + 1$; unless there is a subinterval of I of length k containing no letter x —then $x(I) = V$.

Let A be an arbitrary subset of V . A is the union of disjoint intervals; let their initial vertices be v_1, \dots, v_m , and their lengths l_1, \dots, l_m . From the above remarks we have that $|x(A)| = |A|$ for at most one mapping x , and if x is such then $x_{v_i} = x_{v_i+l_i-k} = x$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Thus every interval of length k is a black hole whose pattern is uniquely determined, and this pattern is a cyclic shift of w . On the other hand, if a black hole contains an interval of length $l \neq k$, or two disjoint intervals with initial vertices v_1, v_2 , then the word w is periodic with period $|l - k|$, resp. $|v_1 - v_2|$.

Thus, if the word w is not periodic, we can choose $k = 1$, so the only black holes of the resulting collection of mappings will be one-vertex subsets, and their shortest patterns will be cyclic shifts of w . If w is periodic, $w = w_0^p$ for a prime $p > 1$ and a non-periodic word w_0 , then we can take $k = |w_0|$, and the resulting collection of mappings will satisfy the required property.

(2) \rightarrow (1) Suppose the contrary. Let $w = u^{pq}$ for some word u and $p, q > 1$; suppose that w is a shortest pattern of a black hole, and let A be a black hole of minimum size with pattern w . Consider the sets $A_0 = A$, $A_1 = u(A)$, \dots , $A_{pq-1} = u^{pq-1}(A)$. These sets are all distinct, and all non-empty. We claim that they are also pairwise disjoint. Indeed, if $B = A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ then $u(B) \subseteq A_{i+1} \cap A_{j+1}$, etc. (indices taken modulo pq), and $w(B) \subseteq B$ —therefore, some non-empty subset of B is a black hole with pattern w , contrary to our choice of A .

But now we see that the sets

$$X_i = \bigcup_{j=0}^{q-1} A_{i+pj}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, p-1$, form an orbit of length p of the mapping u , and so X_0 is a black hole with pattern u^q , contrary to our assumption about w . ■

Thus, exception of composite powers is the only restriction on shortest patterns of non-nilpotent families.

REFERENCES

1. O. V. Borodin, A. V. Kostochka, J. Nešetřil, A. Raspaud, and E. Sopena, On universal graphs for planar oriented graphs of a given girth, *Discrete Math.* **188** (1998), 78–85.
2. O. V. Borodin, A. V. Kostochka, J. Nešetřil, A. Raspaud, and E. Sopena, On the maximum average degree and the oriented chromatic number of a graph, *Discrete Math.* **206** (1999), 77–90.
3. P. Hell, A. V. Kostochka, A. Raspaud, and E. Sopena, On nice graphs, *Discrete Math.* **234** (2001), 39–51.
4. J. C. Holladay and R. S. Varga, On powers of non-negative matrices, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1958), 631–634.
5. J. Nešetřil, A. Raspaud, and E. Sopena, Colorings and girth of oriented planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* **165/166** (1996), 519–530.
6. H. Wielandt, Unzerlegbare nicht negative Matrizen, *Math. Z.* **52** (1950), 642–648.