Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Discrete Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

## A new tool for proving Vizing's Theorem

### Alexandr Kostochka\*

University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 August 2013 Received in revised form 21 February 2014 Accepted 23 February 2014 Available online 12 March 2014

Keywords: Edge coloring Critical graphs Adjacency Lemma

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $[k] := \{1, \ldots, k\}$ . We consider only loopless multigraphs and follow the notation and definitions in [9]. An *edge k*-coloring of a multigraph *G* is a mapping  $\phi : E(G) \to [k]$  such that  $\phi(e) \neq \phi(e')$  for any two edges *e*, *e'* sharing a vertex or two. In other words, for every  $1 \le i \le k$ , the *color class*  $\phi^{-1}(i)$  is a matching. The *edge chromatic number*,  $\chi'(G)$ , of *G* is the smallest positive integer *k* such that *G* has an edge *k*-coloring.

For each pair  $\{u, v\}$  of vertices of a multigraph *G*, let M(u, v) denote the set of edges of *G* connecting *u* with *v* and let  $\mu(u, v) = |M(u, v)|$ . Let  $\mu(G) = \max\{\mu(u, v) : u, v \in V(G)\}$ . The classical result is:

**Theorem 1** (*Vizing* [6,8]). Let  $D \ge 2$  and G be a multigraph with  $\Delta(G) \le D$ . Let  $\mu = \mu(G)$ . Let  $x, y \in V(G)$  and  $e_0 \in M(x, y)$  be such that  $G - e_0$  has an edge- $(D + \mu)$ -coloring  $\phi$ . Then G also has an edge- $(D + \mu)$ -coloring.

An immediate corollary of Theorem 1 is

**Theorem 2** (Vizing [6,8]). For every multigraph G,  $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + \mu(G)$ . In particular,  $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$  for every simple graph G.

There are many different proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 (see, e.g. [5,9]). The main goal of this note is to present an auxiliary multidigraph that simplifies and facilitates proofs of it. We also use the same machinery for short proofs of two other well-known results on edge coloring. One of them belongs to Andersen [1] and Goldberg [3,4].

**Theorem 3** (Andersen [1], Goldberg [3,4]). Let G be a multigraph and S be the set of all paths (x, y, z) of length 2 in G. Then

$$\chi'(G) \le q(G) := \max\left\{\Delta(G), \max_{(x,y,z)\in S} \frac{d(x) + \mu(x,y) + d(z) + \mu(z,y)}{2}\right\}.$$
(1)

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2014.02.021 0012-365X/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# FISEVIER

Note





A B S T R A C T The known proofs of the fa

The known proofs of the famous theorem of Vizing on edge coloring of (multi)graphs are not long but sophisticated. The main goal of this note is to present an auxiliary (multi)digraph that simplifies and facilitates proofs of it. The secondary goal is to use the approach for proofs of Vizing's Adjacency Lemma and the Andersen–Goldberg Theorem. © 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. *E-mail address:* kostochk@math.uiuc.edu.

For the other result, we need a definition: A (simple) graph *G* is *critical*, if  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$  and  $\chi'(G - e) < \chi'(G)$  for every  $e \in E(G)$ . *Vizing's Adjacency Lemma* stated below is a useful tool for studying edge colorings of graphs.

**Theorem 4** (Vizing [7]). If G is a critical graph with maximum degree  $D \ge 2$  and  $xy \in E(G)$ , then y has at least max  $\{2, D - d(x) + 1\}$  neighbors of degree D.

In the next three sections we present proofs of Theorems 1, 3 and 4, respectively.

#### 2. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof follows the structure of the original proof [6] and the proof in [5], but the use of the auxiliary digraph H' makes it simpler.

Let  $q = D + \mu$  and  $\phi$  be an edge-q-coloring of  $G - e_0$ , where  $e_0 \in M(x, y)$ . For every  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $O(v) = O_{\phi}(v)$  denote the set of colors in [q] not used to color the edges incident to v. Then

$$|O(v)| \ge q - d(v) \quad \text{for each } v \in V(G) \quad \text{and} \quad |O(u)| \ge q - d_{G-e_0}(u) = q - d(u) + 1, \quad \text{for } u \in \{x, y\}.$$
(2)

Construct the auxiliary multidigraph H as follows:  $V(H) = N_G(y)$  and the number of edges from u to v equals the number of edges  $e \in M(v, y)$  with  $\phi(e) \in O(u)$ . Let X be the set of vertices reachable in H from x and H' be the subdigraph H[X] of H induced by X. By definition,  $x \in X$ . Since the outneighbors of a reachable from x vertex also are reachable from x,

 $N_H^+(v) \subseteq X$  for every  $v \in X$ .

Suppose *G* has no edge-*q*-coloring. Then  $O(x) \cap O(y) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\alpha \in O(y)$ . We prove a sequence of three simple claims.

**Claim 1.**  $\alpha \notin O(v)$  for every  $v \in X$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\alpha \in O(v)$  and  $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_s)$  where  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_s = v$  is an x, v-path in H'. By the definition of edges in H', for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ , there is an edge  $e_i \in M(y, x_i)$  with  $\phi(e_i) \in O(x_{i-1})$ . Then we can recolor  $e_s$  with  $\alpha$  and for every  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ , (re)color  $e_{i-1}$  with  $\phi(e_i)$ . This yields a coloring of G.  $\Box$ 

Claim 1 yields that for every  $v \in X$  and  $\beta \in O(v)$ , there is some  $w \in N(y)$  and  $e \in M(y, w)$  with  $\phi(e) = \beta$ . Then by the definition of H,  $vw \in E(H')$ . So by (2), (3),

$$d_{H'}^+(v) \ge q - d(v)$$
 for every  $v \in X$  and  $d_{H'}^+(x) \ge q - d(x) + 1.$  (4)

A [ $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ]-*path* in *G* is a path whose edges are alternately colored with  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ . A [ $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ](a, b)-*path* is a [ $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ]-path from a to b in *G*.

#### **Claim 2.** If $v \in X$ and $\beta \in O(v)$ , then G contains an $[\alpha, \beta](v, y)$ -path.

**Proof.** If the claim is not true, choose a vertex  $v \in X$  at minimum distance from x in H' for which there is  $\beta \in O(v)$  such that the  $[\alpha, \beta]$ -path P starting at v does not end at y. Let z denote the other end of P. Let  $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_s)$  where  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_s = v$  be a shortest x, v-path in H'. For each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ , let  $e_i \in M(y, x_i)$  be such that  $\phi(e_i) \in O(x_{i-1})$ . If  $z \in X$ , then by Claim 1 the last edge of P has color  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta \in O(z)$ . So by the minimality of the distance of v from  $x, z \notin \{x_0, \ldots, x_s\}$ . Then we can switch the colors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  on the edges of P, recolor  $e_s$  with  $\alpha$  and for every  $i = 1, \ldots, s$  (as in the proof of Claim 1), (re)color  $e_{i-1}$  with  $\phi(e_i)$ .

**Claim 3.** For all distinct  $v, w \in X$ ,  $O(v) \cap O(w) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** If  $v, w \in X$  and  $\beta \in O(v) \cap O(w)$ , then by Claim 2, the  $[\beta, \alpha]$ -path starting at y must end at both v and w, an impossibility.  $\Box$ 

Claim 3 yields that

$$d_{H'}^{-}(v) \le \mu(v, y) \le \mu$$
 for every  $v \in X$ , and  $d_{H'}^{-}(x) \le \mu(x, y) - 1$ . (5)

Since  $\sum_{v \in X} d_{H'}^{-}(v) = |E(H')| = \sum_{v \in X} d_{H'}^{+}(v)$  and  $q = D + \mu$ , by (4) and (5),

$$0 = \sum_{v \in X} \left( d_{H'}^+(v) - d_{H'}^-(v) \right) \ge 2 + \sum_{v \in X} (D + \mu - d(v) - \mu(v, y)) \ge 2, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \Box$$
(6)

**Remark.** The proof for simple graphs would be even simpler logically, since in this case *H* has no multiple edges.

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 3

Let G be a counter-example to Theorem 3 with the fewest edges. By minimality, it is connected. Clearly, |V(G)| > 3. For  $y \in V(G)$  and  $v \in N(y)$ , let  $f_v(v) = d(v) + \mu(y, v)$ . In terms of f, we can redefine q(G) (see (1)) as follows:

$$q(G) := \max\left\{\Delta(G), \max_{y \in V(G); x, z \in N(y), x \neq z} \frac{f_y(x) + f_y(z)}{2}\right\}.$$
(7)

Choose any  $y \in V(G)$  with  $d(y) = \Delta(G)$ . Since G is connected and |V(G)| > 3, |N(y)| > 2. Let x be a vertex in N(y) with the maximum  $f_v(x)$  and  $z \in N(y)$  have the largest  $f_v(z)$  in N(y) - x.

Since q(G) may be not an integer, let q := |q(G)|. By definition, q may be less than  $f_{y}(x)$  but

(a) 
$$q \ge \frac{f_y(x) + f_y(z) - 1}{2}$$
 and (b)  $q \ge f_y(v)$  for every  $v \in N(y) - x$ . (8)

Let  $e_0 \in M(y, x)$ . Since q(G) does not increase when we delete an edge, by the minimality of G, multigraph  $G - e_0$  has an edge-q-coloring  $\phi$ . Define O(v), H, X and H' exactly as in the previous section. Then inequalities (2)–(5) and Claims 1–3 hold with proofs repeated word by word. The only extra observation is that since  $q \ge \Delta(G)$ , by (2) we have  $O(y) \neq \emptyset$  and  $O(x) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that there is  $\alpha \in O(y)$  and  $d^+_{H'}(x) \ge 1$ ; thus  $|X| \ge 2$ .

By (4) and (5), similarly to (6),

$$0 = \sum_{v \in X} \left( d_{H'}^+(v) - d_{H'}^-(v) \right) \ge 2 + \sum_{v \in X} \left( q - d(v) - \mu(v, y) \right) = 2 + \sum_{v \in X} \left( q - f_y(v) \right).$$
(9)

Since |X| > 2, we can choose  $z' \in X - x$ . Using (9), then (8)(b), and then (8)(a), we have

$$0 \ge 2 + (q - f_y(x)) + (q - f_y(z')) + \sum_{v \in X - x - z'} (q - f_v(v)) \ge 2 + (q - f_y(x)) + (q - f_y(z')) \ge 1,$$

since  $f_{v}(z') < f_{v}(z)$ . This is a contradiction.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 4

Let G be a critical graph with maximum degree D > 2 and  $xy \in E(G)$ . Define q := D. By criticality, graph G' = G - xy has an edge-q-coloring  $\phi$ . Define O(v), H, X and H' exactly as in Section 1. Again inequalities (2)–(5) and Claims 1–3 hold with proofs repeated word by word. Let  $X' = \{v \in X : d(v) = D\}$ . Since q = D and  $\mu = 1$ , similarly to (9),

$$0 = \sum_{v \in X} \left( d_{H'}^+(v) - d_{H'}^-(v) \right) \ge 2 + \sum_{v \in X} (q - d(v) - \mu) = 2 + \sum_{v \in X} (D - 1 - d(v)).$$
(10)

A term D - 1 - d(v) in the last sum is negative (and equals -1) iff  $v \in X'$ . Thus (10) yields |X'| > 2. Moreover, if d(x) < Dthen by (10), 0 > 2 + (D - 1 - d(x)) - |X'|, i.e. |X'| > D - d(x) + 1, as required.

#### Acknowledgments

I thank Douglas West, Xuding Zhu and Leonid Mel'nikov for helpful discussions. Research is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1266016.

Note added in proof: After the note was accepted, the author learned about a very different and interesting way to prove Theorems 1–4 in [2].

#### References

- [1] L.D. Andersen, On edge-colourings of graphs, Math. Scand. 40 (1977) 161-175.
- 2 A. Ehrenfeucht, V. Faber, H.A. Kierstead, A new method of proving theorems on chromatic index, Discrete Math. 52 (1984) 159–164.
- [3] M.K. Goldberg, Construction of multigraphs with a constraint on the chromatic class, Diskret. Anal. (30) (1977) 3–12 (in Russian).
- [4] M.K. Goldberg, Edge-coloring of multigraphs: recoloring technique, J. Graph Theory 8 (1984) 123-137.
- [5] M. Stiebitz, D. Scheide, B. Toft, L.M. Favrholdt, Graph Edge Coloring. Vizing's Theorem and Goldberg's Conjecture, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ,

- 2012. [6] V.G. Vizing, On an estimate of the chromatic class of a *p*-graph, Diskret. Anal. (3) (1964) 25–30 (in Russian).
- [7] V.G. Vizing, Critical graphs with given chromatic class, Diskret. Anal. (5) (1965) 9–17 (in Russian).
- [8] V.G. Vizing, The chromatic class of a multigraph, Kibernetika (Kiev) (3) (1965) 29–39 (in Russian).
- [9] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, second ed., Prentice Hall, 2001.