

An Intersection Theorem for Systems of Sets

A. V. Kostochka*

*Institute of Mathematics, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences,
Universitetski, pr. 4, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia*

ABSTRACT

Erdős and Rado defined a Δ -system, as a family in which every two members have the same intersection. Here we obtain a new upper bound on the maximum cardinality $\varphi(n, q)$ of an n -uniform family not containing any Δ -system of cardinality q . Namely, we prove that, for any $\alpha > 1$ and q , there exists $C = C(\alpha, q)$ such that, for any n ,

$$\varphi(n, q) \leq Cn! \left(\frac{(\log \log \log n)^2}{\alpha \log \log n} \right)^n.$$

© 1996 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Erdős and Rado [3] introduced the notion of a Δ -system. They called a family \mathcal{H} of finite sets a Δ -system if every two members of \mathcal{H} have the same intersection.

Let $\varphi(n, q)$ (respectively, $\varphi(n, q, p)$) denote the maximum cardinality of an n -uniform family not containing any Δ -system of cardinality q (respectively, n -uniform family not containing any Δ -system of cardinality q such that there are no p pairwise disjoint sets).

Erdős and Rado [3] proved that

* This work was partially supported by the Grant 93-01-01486 of the Russian Foundation of Fundamental Research and Grant RPY300 from the International Science Foundation and Russian Government.

$$(q-1)^n \leq \varphi(n, q) \leq (q-1)^n n! \left\{ 1 - \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \frac{t}{(t+1)!(q-1)^t} \right\} \quad (1)$$

and conjectured that

$$\varphi(n, 3) < c^n \quad \text{for some absolute constant } c.$$

Abbott, Hanson, and Sauer [1] improved the upper bound in (1) down to

$$\varphi(n, q) \leq (n+1)! \left\{ \frac{q-1 + (q^2 + 6q - 7)^{0.5}}{4} \right\}^n.$$

We are interested in asymptotic bounds on $\varphi(n, q)$ for fixed q . The best published upper bound of this kind is due to Spencer [5]: For fixed q , $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C = C(q, \epsilon)$ such that

$$\varphi(n, q) < C(1 + \epsilon)^n n!,$$

and

$$\varphi(n, 3) < e^{cn^{3/4}} n!.$$

Füredi and Kahn (see [2]) proved that

$$\varphi(n, 3) < e^{c\sqrt{n}} n!.$$

In [4], it was proved that, for any integer $\alpha > 1$, there exists $C = C(\alpha)$ such that, for any n ,

$$\varphi(n, 3) \leq C n! \alpha^{-n}.$$

It appeared that the bound can be extended from $q = 3$ to any fixed q (using ideas of Spencer [5]). The aim of the present paper is to prove:

Theorem 1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $q \geq p \geq 2$ be integer and $\alpha(n, q) = \max\{20, \frac{\log \log n}{20q \log \log \log n}\}$. Then there exists $C(q)$ such that for all n ,*

$$\varphi(n, q, p) \leq C(q)n! [\log \log n]^{4p\alpha(n, q)} \alpha(n, q)^{-n}.$$

Maybe more visual is the following immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. *For each integers $q > 2$ and $\alpha > 1$, there exists $D(q, \alpha)$ such that, for all n ,*

$$\varphi(n, q) \leq D(q, \alpha)n! \left(\frac{(\log \log \log n)^2}{\alpha \log \log n} \right)^n.$$

To derive Corollary 2 from Theorem 1, consider arbitrary integers $q > 2$ and $\alpha > 1$. Let $n_0 = \min\{|\log \log \log n > 400q\alpha\}$. Then $\alpha(n, q) = \frac{\log \log n}{20q \log \log \log n}$, and, by Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n, q) &= \varphi(n, q, q) \leq C(q)n! (\log \log n)^{\frac{\log \log n}{5 \log \log \log n}} \left(\frac{20q \log \log \log n}{\log \log n} \right)^n \\ &< C(q)n! (\log n)^{1/5} \left(\frac{(\log \log \log n)^2}{2\alpha \log \log n} \right)^n \leq C(q)n! \left(\frac{(\log \log \log n)^2}{\alpha \log \log n} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $D(n, \alpha) = \max\{C(q), \varphi(n_0, q)\}$, we get Corollary 2.

The proof of Theorem 1 almost completely repeats that in [4]. In particular, in the course of proofs some inequalities are true when n is large in comparison with q . We choose $C(q)$ so that the statement of the theorem holds for smaller n .

All the logarithms throughout the paper are taken to the base e .

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

Call a family \mathcal{F} of sets a (q, n, k, p) -family if it is an n -uniform family not containing any Δ -system of cardinality q such that the cardinality of the intersection of each two members of \mathcal{F} is at most $n - k$ and there are no p pairwise disjoint members of \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 1. For any (q, n, k, q) -family \mathcal{F} ,

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq (q - 1)^{n-k+1} \frac{n!}{k!}.$$

Proof. We use induction on $n - k$. Any (q, k, k, q) -family has at most $q - 1$ members. Hence the lemma is true for $n - k = 0$.

Let the lemma be valid for $n - k \leq m - 1$ and \mathcal{F} be a $(q, m + k, k, q)$ -family. Choose $q - 1$ members A_1, \dots, A_{q-1} of \mathcal{F} with maximum cardinality of their union and let $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{q-1} A_i$. Then each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ has a nonempty intersection with Z .

For any $x \in Z$, let $\mathcal{F}(x) = \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid x \in A\}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \{A \setminus \{x\} \mid A \in \mathcal{F}(x)\}$. Then, for all $x \in Z$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(x)$ is a $(q, m + k - 1, k, q)$ -family. Thus,

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{x \in Z} |\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(x)| \leq |Z|(q - 1)^m \frac{(m - 1 + k)!}{k!} \leq (q - 1)^{m+1} \frac{(m + k)!}{k!}. \quad \square$$

From now on, we suppose that for each $m \leq n - 1$ and $1 < p' < p$,

$$\varphi(m, q) \leq C(q)m! [\log \log m]^{4q\alpha(m, q)} \alpha(m, q)^{-m}, \tag{2}$$

$$\varphi(n, q, p') \leq C(q)n! [\log \log n]^{4p'\alpha(n, q)} \alpha(n, q)^{-n}. \tag{3}$$

The following observation from [5] will be used throughout the paper. Let B_1, \dots, B_t be pairwise disjoint finite sets and \mathcal{F} be a $(q, n, 1, q)$ -family such that $|A \cap B_i| \geq b_i$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{|B_1|}{b_1} \dots \binom{|B_t|}{b_t} \varphi(n - b_1 - \dots - b_t, q). \tag{4}$$

Lemma 2. Let $0 < r \leq k \leq n/2$ and for any members A_1, \dots, A_r of a $(q, n, 1, q)$ -family \mathcal{F} ,

$$|A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r| \leq rn - kr^2/2. \tag{5}$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq C(q) \frac{n!}{k!}.$$

Proof. For $r = 1$ the lemma is valid since (5) is impossible as $r = 1$. Suppose that the lemma is true for $r \leq s - 1$ and $|\mathcal{F}| > C(q)n!/k!$. By the induction hypothesis, there exist $A_1, \dots, A_{s-1} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that for the set $B = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{s-1}$, we have $|B| > (s - 1)n - k(s - 1)^2/2$. If the lemma does not hold for \mathcal{F} , then, for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$|A \cap B| > b + ((s - 1)n - k(s - 1)^2/2) - (sn - ks^2/2) = k(s - 1/2),$$

and there is an $i, 1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ such that $|A \cap A_i| > k$. Thus, by (4),

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}| &\leq (s - 1) \binom{n}{k + 1} \varphi(n - k - 1, q) \\ &\leq (s - 1) \binom{n}{k + 1} [\log \log(n - k - 1)]^{4q\alpha(n - k - 1, q)} \\ &\quad \times C(q)(n - k - 1)! \alpha(n - k - 1, q)^{-n + k + 1} \\ &\leq C(q)n! \alpha(n - k - 1, q)^{-n + k + 1} [\log \log(n - k - 1)]^{4q\alpha(n - k - 1, q)} \frac{s - 1}{(k + 1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for sufficiently large $n - k - 1$, we have $[\log \log(n - k - 1)]^{4q\alpha(n - k - 1, q)} \leq (\log(n - k - 1))^{1/5}$ and hence $|\mathcal{F}| < C(q)n!/k!$. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $\xi \geq 2$, $1 \leq t < s \leq n$ and \mathcal{F} be a $(q, s, 1, q)$ -family with $|\mathcal{F}| \geq Cs! \xi^{-s}$. Then there exist $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$ and X such that*

- (1) $|X| = s - t$,
- (2) for all $A \in \mathcal{F}'$, $A \supset X$;
- (3) $|\mathcal{F}'| \geq Ct! \beta^{-t}$, where $\beta = (2q\xi)^{s/t}$.

Proof. Case 1. For any $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $|\{B \in \mathcal{F} \mid |B \cap A| \geq s - t\}| \leq Ct!2^s \beta^{-t} - 1$. Let \mathcal{H} be a maximal $(q, s, t + 1, q)$ -subfamily of \mathcal{F} . By the choice of \mathcal{H} , for each $B \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{H}$, there is an $A \in \mathcal{H}$ with $|B \cap A| \geq s - t$. Thus, $|\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{H}| \leq |\mathcal{H}|(Ct!2^s \beta^{-t} - 1)$, and

$$|\mathcal{H}| \geq \frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{Ct!2^s \beta^{-t}} = \frac{s!(2q\xi)^s}{t!(2\xi)^s} = q^s \frac{s!}{t!}.$$

But the existence of such a big $(q, s, t + 1, q)$ -family contradicts Lemma 1.

Case 2. There exists $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $|\{B \in \mathcal{F} \mid |B \cap A| \geq s - t\}| \geq \lfloor Ct!2^s \beta^{-t} \rfloor$. If $\lfloor Ct!2^s \beta^{-t} \rfloor \leq 1$ then the statement is trivial. Otherwise, for some $X \subset A$ with $|X| = s - t$,

$$|\{B \in \mathcal{F} \mid B \cap A \supset X\}| \geq \lfloor Ct!2^s \beta^{-t} \rfloor \binom{s}{s - t}^{-1} > Ct! \beta^{-t}.$$

This is the family we need. \square

3. MAIN CONSTRUCTION

Let \mathcal{F} be a $(q, n, 1, p)$ -family with $|\mathcal{F}| = \varphi(n, q, p)$. Assume that

$$|\mathcal{F}| > C(q)n! [\log \log n]^{4p\alpha(n,q)} \alpha(n, q)^{-n}.$$

The idea is to find a (not too large) family of collections of pairwise disjoint (and considerably small) sets such that most members of \mathcal{F} intersect each set from at least one of these collections and then apply (4). We put

$$\alpha = \lfloor \alpha(n, q) \rfloor, \quad y = \lfloor n/3\alpha \rfloor, \quad m = 3\alpha - 1,$$

$$k = \lceil \frac{n}{\log n} (\log \log n)^3 \rceil, \quad r = \lfloor \log \log n \rfloor.$$

Lemma 4. *For all $s = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and for $i_0 = 1$ and any $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ there are subfamilies $\mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ of the family \mathcal{F} and sets $X(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ and $Z(1, i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})$ such that, for $s \neq 0$ and for any $i_1, \dots, i_s, i'_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$,*

- (i) $\mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s) \subset \mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})$,
- (ii) for all $A \in \mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s)$, $A \supset X(i_1) \cup X(i_1, i_2) \cup \dots \cup X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$,
- (iii) the sets $X(i_1), X(i_1, i_2), \dots, X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$ are pairwise disjoint,
- (iv) $|X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)| = y$,
- (v) $|Z(1, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})| \leq kr(r+1)/2$,
- (vi) $X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1}, i_s) \cap X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1}, i'_s) \subset Z(1, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})$,
- (viii) $|\mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s)| \geq C(n-sy)! \xi_s^{sy-n}$, where

$$\xi_s = ((2\alpha)^{\frac{n}{n-sy}} (4q)^{\frac{n+(n-y)+\dots+(n-(s-1)y)}{n-sy}}) = ((2\alpha)^n (4q)^{ns-s(s-1)y/2})^{\frac{1}{n-sy}}.$$

Proof. We use induction on s . Put $\mathcal{F}(1) := \mathcal{F}$, $\xi_0 := 2\alpha$.

Steps ($0 \leq s < m$). We have at hand $\mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ for any $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and if $s > 0$ we also have sets $X(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ and $Z(1, i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})$ as needed. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} &= \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s) \\ &= \{A \setminus (X(i_1) \cup X(i_1, i_2) \cup \dots \cup X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)) \mid A \in \mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s)\}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the statements of the lemma, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a $(q, n-sy, 1, q)$ -family. Note that $n-my \geq n-(3\alpha-1)n/(3\alpha) = n/(3\alpha)$ and hence for each $0 \leq s \leq m$,

$$(ns-s(s-1)y/2)/(n-sy) \leq ns/(n-my) \leq \frac{mm}{n/(3\alpha)} < (3\alpha)^2.$$

Therefore, for each $0 \leq s \leq m$.

$$\xi_s \leq (2\alpha)^{3\alpha} (4q)^{9\alpha^2} \leq (8q)^{9\alpha^2} \tag{6}$$

and due to Statement (vii) of the lemma, we can use Lemma 3. This Lemma 3 provides that there exists X_1 of cardinality y and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ with $|\mathcal{H}_1| \geq C(n-(s+1)y)! \beta^{(s+1)y-n}$ (where $\beta = (2q\xi_s)^{\frac{n-sy}{n-(s+1)y}}$) such that each $A \in \mathcal{H}_1$ contains X_1 . We put $Z_1 := \emptyset$.

Suppose that $(q, n-sy, 1, q)$ -families $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_l$ and sets $X_1, \dots, X_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_l$ are constructed and that, for each $1 \leq j \leq l, 1 \leq j' \leq l, j \neq j'$,

- $|X_j| = y,$
- $|Z_l| \leq kl(l-1)/2,$
- $X_j \cap X_{j'} \subset Z_l,$
- for all $A \in \mathcal{H}_l, X_j \subset A,$
- $|H_j| \geq C(n - (s + 1)y)! \xi_{s+1}^{(s+1)y-n} .$

If $l < r,$ then we construct $\mathcal{H}_{l+1}, X_{l+1},$ and Z_{l+1} as follows. Note that, for each $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}},$ we have

$$|A| = n - sy \geq 2y > lk + y ,$$

and by (4) the number $N(s, l)$ of $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ with $|A \cap (X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_l)| \geq lk$ does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{|X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_l|}{lk} \varphi(n - sy - lk, q) \\ & \leq \binom{ly}{lk} C(q)(n - sy - lk)! [\log \log(n - sy - lk)]^{4q\alpha(n - sy - lk, q)} \\ & \quad \times \alpha(n - sy - lk, q)^{sy + lk - n} . \end{aligned}$$

Since $n - sy - lk > y,$ for large n we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\log \log(n - sy - lk)]^{4q\alpha(n - sy - lk, q)} \alpha(n - sy - lk, q)^{sy + lk - n} \\ & \leq (\log(n - sy - lk))^{1/5} \alpha(n - sy - lk, q)^{sy + lk - n} < 1 . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$N(s, l) \leq \left(\frac{ely}{lk}\right)^{lk} C(q)(n - sy - lk)! \leq \frac{C(q)(n - sy)!}{(k/e)^{lk}} .$$

But for large n we have

$$\left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^k \geq \left(\frac{n}{e \log n}\right)^{\frac{n(\log \log n)^3}{\log n}} \geq e^{0.5n(\log \log n)^3} > e^{n(3q\alpha)^3} .$$

In view of (6), we obtain

$$k! \geq (k/e)^k \geq (2\xi_m)^n , \tag{8}$$

and for the family $\mathcal{H}' := \{A \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \mid |A \cap (X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_l)| < lk\}$ we have $|\mathcal{H}'| \geq |\tilde{\mathcal{F}}| - C(q)(n - sy)!(2\xi_s)^{sy-n} \geq C(q)(n - sy)!(2\xi_s)^{sy-n}.$ Then by Lemma 3 there exist $\mathcal{H}_{l+1} \subset \mathcal{H}'$ and X_{l+1} with $|X_{l+1}| = y$ such that each $A \in \mathcal{H}_{l+1}$ contains X_{l+1} and $|\mathcal{H}_{l+1}| \geq C(q)(n - (s + 1)y)! \beta^{(s+1)y-n},$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta & = (2q \times 2\xi_s)^{\frac{n-sy}{n-(s+1)y}} = (4q \times ((2\alpha)^n (4q)^{ns-s(s-1)y/2})^{\frac{1}{n-sy}})^{\frac{n-sy}{n-(s+1)y}} \\ & = ((2\alpha)^n (4q)^{n(s+1)-s(s+1)y/2})^{\frac{1}{n-(s+1)y}} = \xi_{s+1} . \end{aligned}$$

By definition of \mathcal{H}' ,

$$|X_{l+1} \cap (X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_l)| < lk .$$

Putting $Z_{l+1} := Z_l \cup (X_{l+1} \cap (X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_l))$, we have $|Z_{l+1}| \leq |Z_l| + lk \leq kl(l + 1)/2$ and conditions (7) are fulfilled for $l + 1$. Thus we can proceed till $l = r$.

After constructing \mathcal{H}_r , X_r , and Z_r , we put, for $j = 1, \dots, r$, $X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, j) := X_j$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s, j) := \{A \cup X(i_1) \cup X(i_1, i_2) \cup \dots \cup X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, j) \mid A \in \mathcal{H}_r\} ,$$

and

$$Z(1, i_1, \dots, i_s) := Z_r .$$

By construction, the statements (i)–(vii) of the lemma will be fulfilled for $s + 1$ \square

Lemma 5. *For all $s = 0, 1, \dots, m + 1$ and for all $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there are sets $X(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ and $Z(1, i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})$ and for all $i_1, \dots, i_{m+1} \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there are sets $A(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that*

- (i) *the sets $X(i_1), X(i_1, i_2), \dots, X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m+1})$ are pairwise disjoint;*
- (ii) *$|X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)| = y$ if $1 \leq s \leq m$,*
- (iii) *$|X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m+1})| = n - my$,*
- (iv) *$A(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) = X(i_1) \cup X(i_1, i_2) \cup \dots \cup X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m+1})$, for all $s = 1, \dots, m$ and for all $i_1, \dots, i_s, i'_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$,*
- (v) *$X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1}, i_s) \cap X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1}, i'_s) \subset Z(1, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})$,*
- (vi) *$|Z(1, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})| \leq k(r + 1)/2$.*

Proof. For $s = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and for any $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, consider $\mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s)$, $X(i_1, \dots, i_s)$, and $Z(1, i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})$ from Lemma 4.

Now, for an arbitrary $(m + 1)$ -tuple $(1, i_1, \dots, i_m)$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H}(1, i_1, \dots, i_m) \\ &:= \{A \setminus (X(i_1) \cup X(i_1, i_2) \cup \dots \cup X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)) \mid A \in \mathcal{F}(1, i_1, \dots, i_m)\} . \end{aligned}$$

By construction, \mathcal{H} is a $(q, n - my, 1, q)$ -family and, by Lemma 4, $|\mathcal{H}| \geq C(n - my)! \xi_m^{my-n}$.

Recall that $n - my \geq n/(3\alpha)$. By (8), for large n , $|\mathcal{H}| > C(q)(n - my)!/k!$ and by Lemma 2 [note that $0 < r < k < (n - my)/2$], there exist $A_1, \dots, A_r \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$|A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r| > r(n - my) - kr^2/2 . \tag{9}$$

Let

$$Z(1, i_1, \dots, i_m) := \bigcup_{1 \leq j < h \leq r} A_j \cap A_h ,$$

and for $j = 1, \dots, r$, $X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j) := A_j$, and

$$A(i_1, \dots, i_m, j) = X(i_1) \cup X(i_1, i_2) \cup \dots \cup X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) \cup A_j .$$

In view of (9), $|Z(1, i_1, \dots, i_m)| \leq kr^2/2$. Now, by Lemma 4 and the construction, all the statements of the lemma are fulfilled. \square

Lemma 6. *If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \cap A(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $i_1, \dots, i_{m+1} \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, then there exist $s, 0 \leq s \leq m$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that*

$$A \cap X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, j) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Assume that for some $B \in \mathcal{F}$ for each $s, 0 \leq s \leq m$ and each $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there exists $j^*(1, \dots, i_s)$ such that

$$B \cap X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, j^*(1, \dots, i_s)) = \emptyset.$$

Let further $q_0 = 1$ and for $s = 1, \dots, m + 1, q_s = j^*(q_0, \dots, q_{s-1})$. Then B has empty intersection with every member of the sequence $X(q_1), X(q_1, q_2), \dots, X(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{m+1})$. But this means that B is disjoint from $A(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{m+1})$, and we are done \square

Completion of the Proof of the Theorem. Consider

$$Z := \bigcup_{s=1}^{m+1} \bigcup_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})} Z(1, i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}).$$

Clearly, $|Z| \leq (1 + r + r^2 + \dots + r^m)kr(r + 1)/2 \leq kr^{m+2} = kr^{3\alpha+1}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{B}(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1})$ the collection $\{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid A \cap 4(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) = \emptyset\}$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid A \cap Z \neq \emptyset\}$ and

$$\mathcal{H}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s) = \{A \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{E} \mid A \cap X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, j) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\}\}.$$

By Lemma 5, for each $s, 0 \leq s \leq m$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, the sets $X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, j)Z$ for distinct j are pairwise disjoint. Hence by Lemma 6, we can write \mathcal{F} in the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1})} \mathcal{B}(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) \cup \mathcal{E} \cup \left(\bigcup_{s=0}^m \bigcup_{(1, i_1, \dots, i_s)} \mathcal{H}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s) \right).$$

By (3),

$$\left| \bigcup_{(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1})} \mathcal{B}(i_1, \dots, i_{m+1}) \right| \leq r^{m+1} C(q)n!r^{4\alpha(n,q)(p-1)}\alpha(n, q)^{-n}.$$

Then,

$$|\mathcal{E}| \leq |Z|\varphi(n - 1, q) \leq kr^{3\alpha+1}C(q)(n - 1)!r^{4q\alpha(n-1,q)}\alpha(n - 1, q)^{1-n}.$$

Note that each $A \in \mathcal{H}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ must intersect each of r pairwise disjoint sets $X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, 1)Z, X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, 2)Z, \dots, X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, r)Z$. The cardinalities of these sets for $s < m$ are at most y and for $s = m$ are less than $2y$. Consequently, by (4),

$$|\mathcal{H}(1, i_1, \dots, i_s)| \leq (2y)^r \varphi(n - r, q) \leq \left(\frac{2n}{3\alpha}\right)^r C(q)(n - r)!r^{4q\alpha(n-r,q)}\alpha(n - r, q)^{r-n}.$$

Observe that, for $n > 20$ and $1 \leq i \leq 0.5 \log n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha(n-i, q)}{\alpha(n, q)} &\geq \frac{\log \log(n-i)}{\log \log n} \geq \frac{\log(\log n - i/(n-i))}{\log \log n} \\ &\geq \frac{\log((1 - 1/(n+1)) \log n)}{\log \log n} \geq \frac{\log \log n - 1/n}{\log \log n} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}| &\leq C(q)n!r^{(4p-1)\alpha(n,q)}\alpha(n, q)^{-n} + kr^{3\alpha+1}C(q)(n-1)!r^{4q\alpha(n-1,q)} \\ &\quad \times ((n-1)\alpha(n, q)/n)^{1-n} \\ &\quad + \frac{r^{m+1}-1}{r-1} \left(\frac{2}{2.8\alpha(n, q)}\right)^r C(q)n!r^{4q\alpha(n-r,q)}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\alpha(n, q)\right)^{r-n} \\ &< C(q)n!\alpha(n, q)^{-n}r^{4p\alpha(n,q)}\left(r^{-\alpha(n,q)} + \frac{3(\log \log n)^{4q\alpha(n,q)+3}\alpha(n, q)}{\log n}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3(\log \log n)^{4q\alpha(n, q)}}{1.4^{\lfloor \log \log n \rfloor}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

But for large n the expression in big parentheses does not exceed

$$(\log n)^{-1/10q} + 3(\log \log n)^4(\log n)^{-4/5} + 4/2(\log n)^{0.2-\log 1.4} < 1.$$

Thus, the theorem is proved. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is very indebted to M. Axenovich and D. G. Fon-Der-Flaass for many creative conversations.

REFERENCES

[1] H. L. Abbott, D. Hanson, and N. Sauer, Intersection theorems for systems of sets, *J. Combinat. Theor.*, **A12**, 381–389 (1972).
 [2] P. Erdős, Problems and results on set systems and hypergraphs, in *Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies*, **3**, *Extremal Problems for Finite Sets*, Visegrad, Hungary, 1991, pp. 217–227.
 [3] P. Erdős and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of sets, *J. London Math. Soc.* **35**, 85–90 (1960).
 [4] A. V. Kostochka, A bound of the cardinality of families not containing Δ -systems, in *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős*, R. L. Graham and J. Nešetřil, Eds., to appear.
 [5] J. Spencer, Intersection theorems for systems of sets, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **20**, 249–254 (1977).

Received May 25, 1995
 Accepted January 9, 1996