# Connectivity, II 

Lecture 21

Two $u, v$-paths are internally disjoint, if they do not have common internal vertices.

Theorem 4.4 (Whitney, 1932). Let $|V(G)| \geq 3$. Then $G$ is 2-connected if and only if for each $u, v \in V(G)$ graph $G$ has internally disjoint $u, v$-paths.

Two $u, v$-paths are internally disjoint, if they do not have common internal vertices.

Theorem 4.4 (Whitney, 1932). Let $|V(G)| \geq 3$. Then $G$ is 2-connected if and only if for each $u, v \in V(G)$ graph $G$ has internally disjoint $u, v$-paths.

Proof. Let $n \geq 3$.
$(\Leftarrow) \quad$ We prove the contrapositive. Suppose an $n$-vertex $G$ is not 2 -connected. Since $n \geq 3$, by Lemma 4.1 there is an $x \in V(G)$ such that $G-x$ is disconnected. This means there is a partition $V(G)=\{x\} \cup A \cup B$ with $A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \neq \emptyset$ such that no edge connects $A$ with $B$.

Two $u, v$-paths are internally disjoint, if they do not have common internal vertices.

Theorem 4.4 (Whitney, 1932). Let $|V(G)| \geq 3$. Then $G$ is 2-connected if and only if for each $u, v \in V(G)$ graph $G$ has internally disjoint $u, v$-paths.

Proof. Let $n \geq 3$.
$(\Leftarrow) \quad$ We prove the contrapositive. Suppose an $n$-vertex $G$ is not 2 -connected. Since $n \geq 3$, by Lemma 4.1 there is an $x \in V(G)$ such that $G-x$ is disconnected. This means there is a partition $V(G)=\{x\} \cup A \cup B$ with $A \neq \emptyset$ and $B \neq \emptyset$ such that no edge connects $A$ with $B$.

Let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then each $a, b$-path in $G$ contains $x$.
Thus $G$ has no internally disjoint $a, b$-paths.
$(\Rightarrow) \quad$ Let $G$ be 2-connected. We use induction on $d(u, v)$.
Base of induction: $d(u, v)=1$. Since $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq \kappa(G) \geq 2$, $G-u v$ is connected; thus it contains a $u, v$-path $P$. Another $u, v$-path is $u v$.
$(\Rightarrow) \quad$ Let $G$ be 2-connected. We use induction on $d(u, v)$. Base of induction: $d(u, v)=1$. Since $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq \kappa(G) \geq 2$, $G-u v$ is connected; thus it contains a $u, v$-path $P$. Another $u, v$-path is $u v$.

Induction Step. Suppose the theorem holds for all pairs of vertices at distance at most $k-1$. Take any two vertices $u$ and $v$ s.t. $d(u, v)=k$. Let $P=v_{0} v_{1} \ldots v_{k}$ be a shortest path from $v_{0}=u$ to $v_{k}=v$.
$(\Rightarrow) \quad$ Let $G$ be 2-connected. We use induction on $d(u, v)$.
Base of induction: $d(u, v)=1$. Since $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq \kappa(G) \geq 2$, $G-u v$ is connected; thus it contains a $u, v$-path $P$. Another $u, v$-path is $u v$.

Induction Step. Suppose the theorem holds for all pairs of vertices at distance at most $k-1$. Take any two vertices $u$ and $v$ s.t. $d(u, v)=k$. Let $P=v_{0} v_{1} \ldots v_{k}$ be a shortest path from $v_{0}=u$ to $v_{k}=v$.

Then $d\left(u, v_{k-1}\right)=k-1<k$. So by induction, there are internally disjoint $u, v_{k-1}$-paths $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. Note that $Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}$ is a cycle.

Case 1: $v \in V\left(Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right)$. Then on the cycle $Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}$ we find internally disjoint $u, v$-paths.

Case 2: $v \notin V\left(Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right)$. Since $\kappa(G) \geq 2, G-v_{k-1}$ has a path $Q_{0}$ from $v$ to $V\left(Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right)-v_{k-1}$, see below.


Using paths $Q_{0}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ and edge $v_{k-1} v$, we easily find two internally disjoint $u, v$-paths.

Lemma 4.5 (Expansion Lemma): Let $G$ be $k$-connected and $G^{\prime}$ be obtained from $G$ by adding a new vertex $y$ adjacent to at least $k$ vertices in $G$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is $k$-connected.

Proof. Since $G$ is $k$-connected, $|V(G)| \geq k+1$.
Assume $G^{\prime}$ is not $k$-connected. Then there is a separating set $S \subset V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with $|S| \leq k-1$.

Lemma 4.5 (Expansion Lemma): Let $G$ be $k$-connected and $G^{\prime}$ be obtained from $G$ by adding a new vertex $y$ adjacent to at least $k$ vertices in $G$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is $k$-connected.

Proof. Since $G$ is $k$-connected, $|V(G)| \geq k+1$.
Assume $G^{\prime}$ is not $k$-connected. Then there is a separating set $S \subset V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with $|S| \leq k-1$.

Case 1: $y \in S$. Then $S-y$ is a separating set in $G$ and $|S-y| \leq k-2$, a contradiction.

Lemma 4.5 (Expansion Lemma): Let $G$ be $k$-connected and $G^{\prime}$ be obtained from $G$ by adding a new vertex $y$ adjacent to at least $k$ vertices in $G$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is $k$-connected.

Proof. Since $G$ is $k$-connected, $|V(G)| \geq k+1$.
Assume $G^{\prime}$ is not $k$-connected. Then there is a separating set $S \subset V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with $|S| \leq k-1$.

Case 1: $y \in S$. Then $S-y$ is a separating set in $G$ and $|S-y| \leq k-2$, a contradiction.

Case 2: $y \notin S$. Let $A$ be the vertex set of the component of $G^{\prime}-S$ containing $y$ and $B=V\left(G^{\prime}\right)-A-S$. If $|A| \geq 2$, then $S$ is a separating set in $G$ and $|S| \leq k-1$, a contradiction.

So assume $A=\{y\}$. Then $S \supseteq N_{G^{\prime}}(y)$, but $\left|N_{G^{\prime}}(y)\right| \geq k$, a contradiction.

## A characterization theorem

Theorem 4.6 (Characterization theorem of 2-connected graphs): Let $G$ be a graph with $|V(G)| \geq 3$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(A) $G$ is connected and has no cut vertices.
(B) $\forall x, y \in V(G)$, there are internally disjoint $x, y$-paths.
(C) $\forall x, y \in V(G)$, there is a cycle containing both $x$ and $y$.
(D) $\delta(G) \geq 1$ and $\forall e, e^{\prime} \in E(G)$, there is a cycle containing both $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.
(F) $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and $\forall e, e^{\prime} \in E(G)$, there is a cycle containing both $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.

## A characterization theorem

Theorem 4.6 (Characterization theorem of 2-connected graphs): Let $G$ be a graph with $|V(G)| \geq 3$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(A) $G$ is connected and has no cut vertices.
(B) $\forall x, y \in V(G)$, there are internally disjoint $x, y$-paths.
(C) $\forall x, y \in V(G)$, there is a cycle containing both $x$ and $y$.
(D) $\delta(G) \geq 1$ and $\forall e, e^{\prime} \in E(G)$, there is a cycle containing both $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.
(F) $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and $\forall e, e^{\prime} \in E(G)$, there is a cycle containing both $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.

Proof. Theorem 4.4 proves $(A) \Leftrightarrow(B)$.
Clearly, $(B) \Leftrightarrow(C)$ and $(F) \Rightarrow(D)$.

To show $(D) \Rightarrow(C)$, we prove $(\neg C) \Rightarrow(\neg D))$.
The negation of (C) means that there are vertices $x$ and $y$ not in a common cycle. If (D) holds, there is an edge $e$ incident to $x$ and an edge $e^{\prime}$ incident to $y$. Hence there is no cycle containing $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.

To show $(D) \Rightarrow(C)$, we prove $(\neg C) \Rightarrow(\neg D))$.
The negation of (C) means that there are vertices $x$ and $y$ not in a common cycle. If ( D ) holds, there is an edge $e$ incident to $x$ and an edge $e^{\prime}$ incident to $y$. Hence there is no cycle containing $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.

To finish the theorem we need only to show $(A) \Rightarrow(F)$.
Suppose $G$ is connected and has no cut vertices. Then $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Now take any two edges, $e=x y$ and $e^{\prime}=u v$ (possibily, $x=u$ ). Let $G^{\prime}$ by obtained from $G$ by adding a new vertex a adjacent to $x$ and $y$ and a new vertex $b$ adjacent to $u$ and $v$. By the Expansion Lemma, $G^{\prime}$ is 2 -connected.

To show $(D) \Rightarrow(C)$, we prove $(\neg C) \Rightarrow(\neg D))$.
The negation of (C) means that there are vertices $x$ and $y$ not in a common cycle. If (D) holds, there is an edge $e$ incident to $x$ and an edge $e^{\prime}$ incident to $y$. Hence there is no cycle containing $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.

To finish the theorem we need only to show $(A) \Rightarrow(F)$.
Suppose $G$ is connected and has no cut vertices. Then $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Now take any two edges, $e=x y$ and $e^{\prime}=u v$ (possibily, $x=u$ ). Let $G^{\prime}$ by obtained from $G$ by adding a new vertex a adjacent to $x$ and $y$ and a new vertex $b$ adjacent to $u$ and $v$. By the Expansion Lemma, $G^{\prime}$ is 2 -connected.

By Whitney's Theorem, $G^{\prime}$ has a cycle $C$ containing $a$ and $b$. Then $C$ must use edges $x a, a y, u b$ and $b v$. Replacing these four edges with edges $e$ and $e^{\prime}$, we obtain a cycle in $G$ containing $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.

A subdivision of an edge e connecting vertices $u$ and $v$ in a graph $G$ is the operation of replacing edge $e$ with a path $u, w, v$ through a new vertex w.

Corollary 4.7. If $G$ is 2 -connected, then the graph $G^{\prime}$ obtained by subdividing an edge of $G$ also is 2 -connected.

A subdivision of an edge $e$ connecting vertices $u$ and $v$ in a graph $G$ is the operation of replacing edge $e$ with a path $u, w, v$ through a new vertex $w$.

Corollary 4.7. If $G$ is 2 -connected, then the graph $G^{\prime}$ obtained by subdividing an edge of $G$ also is 2 -connected.
Proof. Let $G^{\prime}$ be obtained from $G$ by subdividing an edge $e$ connecting vertices $u$ and $v$ with vertex $w$. Let $e_{1}=u w$ and $e_{2}=w v$.
We will prove that $G^{\prime}$ satisfies conditions ( F ) in Theorem 4.6.
Clearly, $\delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=2$. To prove that ( F ) holds for $G^{\prime}$, consider two arbitrary edges $g$ and $h$.

A subdivision of an edge $e$ connecting vertices $u$ and $v$ in a graph $G$ is the operation of replacing edge $e$ with a path $u, w, v$ through a new vertex $w$.

Corollary 4.7. If $G$ is 2 -connected, then the graph $G^{\prime}$ obtained by subdividing an edge of $G$ also is 2 -connected.
Proof. Let $G^{\prime}$ be obtained from $G$ by subdividing an edge $e$ connecting vertices $u$ and $v$ with vertex $w$. Let $e_{1}=u w$ and $e_{2}=w v$.
We will prove that $G^{\prime}$ satisfies conditions ( F ) in Theorem 4.6.
Clearly, $\delta\left(G^{\prime}\right)=2$. To prove that ( F ) holds for $G^{\prime}$, consider two arbitrary edges $g$ and $h$.

Case 1: $\{g, h\} \cap\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}=\emptyset$. Since $G$ is 2 -connected , it contains a cycle $C$ containing $g$ and $h$. If $e \notin E(C)$, then $C$ is a cycle in $G^{\prime}$ containing $g$ and $h$.

Otherwise, cycle $C^{\prime}$ obtained from $C$ by replacing $e$ with $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is a cycle in $G^{\prime}$ containing $g$ and $h$.

Otherwise, cycle $C^{\prime}$ obtained from $C$ by replacing $e$ with $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is a cycle in $G^{\prime}$ containing $g$ and $h$.

Case 2: $\left|\{g, h\} \cap\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right|=1$, say $g=e_{1}$ and $h \neq e_{2}$. Again, since $G$ is 2 -connected, it contains a cycle $C$ containing $e$ and h.

Then the cycle $C^{\prime}$ obtained from $C$ by replacing $e$ with $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is a cycle in $G^{\prime}$ containing $g$ and $h$.

Otherwise, cycle $C^{\prime}$ obtained from $C$ by replacing $e$ with $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is a cycle in $G^{\prime}$ containing $g$ and $h$.

Case 2: $\left|\{g, h\} \cap\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right|=1$, say $g=e_{1}$ and $h \neq e_{2}$. Again, since $G$ is 2 -connected, it contains a cycle $C$ containing $e$ and h.

Then the cycle $C^{\prime}$ obtained from $C$ by replacing $e$ with $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is a cycle in $G^{\prime}$ containing $g$ and $h$.

Case 3: $\{g, h\}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Again, $G$ contains a cycle $C$ containing $e$. Again, the cycle $C^{\prime}$ obtained from $C$ by replacing $e$ with $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is a cycle in $G^{\prime}$ containing $g$ and $h$.

An ear in a graph $G$ is a a path $P$ connecting two vertices of degree at least 3 s.t. all internal vertices of $P$ have degree 2 in G.

An ear in a graph $G$ is a a path $P$ connecting two vertices of degree at least 3 s.t. all internal vertices of $P$ have degree 2 in G.

An ear decomposition of a graph $G$ is a partition ( $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ ) of the edge set of $G$ s.t.
(a) $P_{0}$ is a cycle of length at least 3 , and
(b) for $i=1, \ldots, k, P_{i}$ is an ear of $P_{0} \cup P_{1} \cup \ldots \cup P_{i}$.

