

Connections, bipartite graphs

Lecture 3

Walks

A **walk** in a graph G is a list $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \dots, e_\ell, v_\ell$ of vertices v_i and edges e_i such that for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, the endpoints of e_i are v_{i-1} and v_i .

If the first vertex of a walk is u and the last vertex on the walk is v , we call this a **u, v -walk**. When G is a **simple graph**, we also may specify a walk by simply listing the vertices, since it is unambiguous which edge is traversed in each step.

Walks

A **walk** in a graph G is a list $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \dots, e_\ell, v_\ell$ of vertices v_i and edges e_i such that for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, the endpoints of e_i are v_{i-1} and v_i .

If the first vertex of a walk is u and the last vertex on the walk is v , we call this a **u, v -walk**. When G is a **simple graph**, we also may specify a walk by simply listing the vertices, since it is unambiguous which edge is traversed in each step.

A **u, v -trail** is a u, v -walk with no repeated edges (but vertices may repeat). If $u \neq v$, a **u, v -path** is a u, v -walk with no repeated vertices.

(You should convince yourself that the subgraph definition of path **matches up** with the walk definition of a path).

If $u = v$, then we call a u, v -walk or trail **closed**. The **length** of a walk, trail or path is the number of edges traversed.

We will say a walk W **contains** a path P if the vertices and edges of P occur in W in order, but not necessarily consecutive.

We will say a walk W **contains** a path P if the vertices and edges of P occur in W in order, but not necessarily consecutive.

Lemma 1.1 (Lemma 1.2.5 in the book) : Every u, v -walk contains a u, v -path.

We will say a walk W **contains** a path P if the vertices and edges of P occur in W in order, but not necessarily consecutive.

Lemma 1.1 (Lemma 1.2.5 in the book) : Every u, v -walk contains a u, v -path.

Proof. We will proceed by induction on the length ℓ of the u, v -walk W .

If $\ell = 0$, then W has no edges, so $u = v$ and there are no repeated vertices or edges. Thus W is a u, v -path.

We will say a walk W **contains** a path P if the vertices and edges of P occur in W in order, but not necessarily consecutive.

Lemma 1.1 (Lemma 1.2.5 in the book) : Every u, v -walk contains a u, v -path.

Proof. We will proceed by induction on the length ℓ of the u, v -walk W .

If $\ell = 0$, then W has no edges, so $u = v$ and there are no repeated vertices or edges. Thus W is a u, v -path.

Now assume $\ell > 0$ and all u, v -walks of length $\ell' < \ell$ contain a u, v -path. Let W be any u, v -walk of length ℓ . If W does not repeat any vertices, then W also cannot repeat any edges, so W is a path by itself. Thus, we may assume there is some vertex w that appears at least twice in our walk.

Then W has the form

$$(u = v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k_1} = \mathbf{w}, v_{k_1+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k_2} = \mathbf{w}, v_{k_2+1}, \dots, v_{\ell+1} = v)$$

for some choice of $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1 < k_2$.

(Note 1: $v = v_{\ell+1}$ because a walk of LENGTH ℓ contains $\ell + 1$ vertices.)

Note 2: we are **not assuming** that G is simple, but we are suppressing the information about which edges are traversed because it will not affect the proof).

Then W has the form

$$(u = v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k_1} = \mathbf{w}, v_{k_1+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k_2} = \mathbf{w}, v_{k_2+1}, \dots, v_{\ell+1} = v)$$

for some choice of $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1 < k_2$.

(Note 1: $v = v_{\ell+1}$ because a walk of LENGTH ℓ contains $\ell + 1$ vertices.

Note 2: we are **not assuming** that G is simple, but we are suppressing the information about which edges are traversed because it will not affect the proof).

However, this implies that

$$W' = (u = v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k_1} = \mathbf{v}_{k_2} = \mathbf{w}, v_{k_2+1}, \dots, v_{\ell+1} = v)$$

is a subwalk of W of length $\ell' = \ell - (k_2 - k_1) < \ell$. So by our inductive hypothesis W' contains a u, v -path, and thus **so does** W . Thus, via induction, we have proved that every u, v -walk contains a u, v -path. □

A graph G is **connected** if for each $u, v \in V(G)$, G has a u, v -path (or equivalently a u, v -walk).

A graph G is **connected** if for each $u, v \in V(G)$, G has a u, v -path (or equivalently a u, v -walk).

Observe that the relation $F(u, v)$ that G has a u, v -path is **reflexive, symmetric and transitive**. Hence F is an equivalence relation, and so partitions $V(G)$ into **equivalence classes**. These classes are called **connected components** of G .

A graph G is **connected** if for each $u, v \in V(G)$, G has a u, v -path (or equivalently a u, v -walk).

Observe that the relation $F(u, v)$ that G has a u, v -path is **reflexive, symmetric and transitive**. Hence F is an equivalence relation, and so partitions $V(G)$ into **equivalence classes**. These classes are called **connected components** of G .

Example: Which of the following cycles are bipartite?

$C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7?$

A graph G is **connected** if for each $u, v \in V(G)$, G has a u, v -path (or equivalently a u, v -walk).

Observe that the relation $F(u, v)$ that G has a u, v -path is **reflexive, symmetric and transitive**. Hence F is an equivalence relation, and so partitions $V(G)$ into **equivalence classes**. These classes are called **connected components** of G .

Example: Which of the following cycles are bipartite?

$$C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7?$$

Lemma 1.2 (Lemma 1.2.15 in the book) : Every **closed walk of odd length** contains an odd cycle.

Proof. In Lecture 4.

Observation: (1) If $\delta(G) \geq 2$, then G has a cycle;
(2) If G is 2-regular, then each component of G is a cycle.

Observation: (1) If $\delta(G) \geq 2$, then G has a cycle;
(2) If G is 2-regular, then each component of G is a cycle.

Proof. If G has a loop, this loop is already a cycle. Suppose, G is loopless. Consider a longest path, say $P = v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k$ in G . Since $\delta(G) \geq 2$, there is an edge $e_0 \neq e_1$ incident to v_0 . Let w be the other end of e_0 .

By the maximality of P , $w \in \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$. If $w = v_i$, then we have cycle $v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_i, v_i, e_0, v_0$. This proves (1).

Let G be 2-regular and connected. Repeat the proof of (1): If G has a loop then since $\Delta(G) = 2$, G is a loop. So, suppose, G is loopless. Consider a longest path, say $P = v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k$ in G . Since $\delta(G) = 2$, there is an edge $e_0 \neq e_1$ incident to v_0 . Let w be the other end of e_0 . Since $\Delta(G) = 2$, vertex w can be only v_k . □

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 1.2.18) **Königs Theorem:** A graph G is **bipartite** if and only if G contains no odd cycles.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 1.2.18) **Königs Theorem:** A graph G is **bipartite** if and only if G contains no odd cycles.

Proof. The forward direction is logically equivalent to “If G contains an odd cycle, then G is not bipartite”, which we have proved.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 1.2.18) **Königs Theorem**: A graph G is **bipartite** if and only if G contains no odd cycles.

Proof. The forward direction is logically equivalent to “If G contains an odd cycle, then G is not bipartite”, which we have proved.

We focus on the **backwards direction**. Observe that it is enough to prove the theorem **for connected graphs**. So, assume a connected G has no odd cycles. Fix a vertex $v \in V(G)$.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 1.2.18) Königs Theorem: A graph G is **bipartite** if and only if G contains no odd cycles.

Proof. The forward direction is logically equivalent to “If G contains an odd cycle, then G is not bipartite”, which we have proved.

We focus on the **backwards direction**. Observe that it is enough to prove the theorem **for connected graphs**. So, assume a connected G has no odd cycles. Fix a **vertex $v \in V(G)$** .

Construct sets V_1, V_2, \dots as follows.

Let $V_1 = \{v\}$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots$, if $\bigcup_{j=1}^i V_j = V(G)$, then **Stop**, otherwise, let $V_{i+1} = N(V_i) - \bigcup_{j=1}^i V_j$.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 1.2.18) Königs Theorem: A graph G is **bipartite** if and only if G contains no odd cycles.

Proof. The forward direction is logically equivalent to “If G contains an odd cycle, then G is not bipartite”, which we have proved.

We focus on the **backwards direction**. Observe that it is enough to prove the theorem **for connected graphs**. So, assume a connected G has no odd cycles. Fix a **vertex $v \in V(G)$** .

Construct sets V_1, V_2, \dots as follows.

Let $V_1 = \{v\}$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots$, if $\bigcup_{j=1}^i V_j = V(G)$, then **Stop**, otherwise, let $V_{i+1} = N(V_i) - \bigcup_{j=1}^i V_j$.

We claim that **every V_i is independent**.

The claim is trivial for $i = 1$. Suppose $i > 1$, $x, y \in V_i$ and $xy \in E(G)$. By the definition of V_i , G contains a path P_x from v to x and a path P_y from v to y , each with $i - 1$ edges. Then the walk obtained from P_x by adding edge xy and then the reverse of P_y is a closed walk of odd length. By Lemma 1.2, it contains an odd cycle, a contradiction. This proves the claim.

The claim is trivial for $i = 1$. Suppose $i > 1$, $x, y \in V_i$ and $xy \in E(G)$. By the definition of V_i , G contains a path P_x from v to x and a path P_y from v to y , each with $i - 1$ edges. Then the walk obtained from P_x by adding edge xy and then the reverse of P_y is a closed walk of odd length. By Lemma 1.2, it contains an odd cycle, a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Then the sets $S_1 = V_1 \cup V_3 \cup V_5 \cup \dots$ and $S_2 = V_2 \cup V_4 \cup V_6 \cup \dots$ are independent, and thus G is bipartite. □

Theorem 1.4 (Proposition 1.3.3) Degree Sum Formula: For every graph G ,

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) = 2|E(G)|.$$

The claim is trivial for $i = 1$. Suppose $i > 1$, $x, y \in V_i$ and $xy \in E(G)$. By the definition of V_i , G contains a path P_x from v to x and a path P_y from v to y , each with $i - 1$ edges. Then the walk obtained from P_x by adding edge xy and then the reverse of P_y is a closed walk of odd length. By Lemma 1.2, it contains an odd cycle, a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Then the sets $S_1 = V_1 \cup V_3 \cup V_5 \cup \dots$ and $S_2 = V_2 \cup V_4 \cup V_6 \cup \dots$ are independent, and thus G is bipartite. □

Theorem 1.4 (Proposition 1.3.3) Degree Sum Formula: For every graph G ,

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) = 2|E(G)|.$$

Proof. Each edge has exactly two endpoints, and so contributes to the sum of degrees exactly twice. □