# Mantel's Theorem, degree sequences 

Lecture 5

## Extremal problems on graphs

By extremal problems on graphs we mean problems when we ask for either the minimum or the maximum number of edges in $n$-vertex graphs with given properties.
A very typical extremal problem was resolved by Mantel in 1907: he determined the maximum number of edges in an $n$-vertex simple graph with no triangles.

Theorem 1.8 (Theorem 1.3.22) Mantel's Theorem, 1907: Let $f(n)$ be the maximum number of edges in a simple $n$-vertex graph with no triangles. For each $n \geq 1, f(n)=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$.
Proof. The fact that $f(n) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$ follows from the example of the complete bipartite graph $K_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}$, since it is bipartite (and thus has no 3 -cycles) and has exactly $\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$ edges.

We prove the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by induction on $n$.
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The only 1 -vertex simple graph is $K_{1}$, and $\left|E\left(K_{1}\right)\right|=0=\left\lfloor\frac{1^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$. Similarly, $K_{2}$ has the most edges among the two simple 2-vertex graphs, and $\left|E\left(K_{2}\right)\right|=1=\left\lfloor\frac{2^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$.
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Suppose now that (1) holds for all $n \leq k-1$ and let $G$ be a simple k-vertex graph with no 3-cycles and $|E(G)|=f(k)$. Let $x y$ be any fixed edge in $G$. Since $G$ has no 3-cycles, every $z \in V(G)-x-y$ is adjacent to at most one of $x$ and $y$. Thus

Let $G^{\prime}=G-x-y$. By construction, $G^{\prime}$ is a simple
$(k-2)$-vertex triangle-free graph. By the induction assumption, $\left|E\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq f(k-2)=\left\lfloor\frac{(k-2)^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$. But then by (2),
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as claimed. This proves the induction step and thus the theorem.
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An example of an incorrect proof.
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Theorem 1.10 (Theorem 1.3.31) Havel-Hakimi: The only graphic sequence of length 1 is (0). For $n>1$ a sequence $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ of integers with $d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq \ldots \geq d_{n} \geq 0$ is graphic if and only if the sequence $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}=\left(d_{2}-1, d_{3}-1, \ldots, d_{d_{1}+1}-1, d_{d_{1}+2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is graphic.

Example: (5, 5, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1).

Proof. ( $\Longleftarrow)$ Suppose $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ is graphic. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a simple graph with degree sequence $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ and vertex set $\left\{v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ where $d_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{i}\right)=d_{i-1}^{\prime}$. Let $G$ be the graph obtained by adding to $G^{\prime}$ a new vertex $v_{1}$ adjacent to $v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d_{1}+1}$. Then the degree sequence of $G$ is $\mathbf{d}$. Thus $\mathbf{d}$ is graphic.
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$(\Longrightarrow)$ Suppose $\mathbf{d}$ is graphic. Among the simple graphs with degree sequence $\mathbf{d}$ and vertex set $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ where the degree of $v_{i}$ is $d_{i}$ for all $i$, choose a graph $G$ in which
$v_{1}$ has the most neighbors in $S=\left\{v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d_{1}+1}\right\}$.
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If $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=S$, then the degree sequence of $G-v_{1}$ is $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$, and hence $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ is graphic. Thus assume $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to some $v_{i} \in S$.

In this case, $v_{1}$ has a neighbor $v_{j} \notin S$. Since $i<j, d_{i} \geq d_{j}$. Moreover, $v_{i}$ is not adjacent to $v_{1}$ while $v_{j}$ is. Together with $d_{i} \geq d_{j}$, this yields that there is $v_{k} \in V$ adjacent to $v_{i}$ but not to $v_{j}$.

In this case, $v_{1}$ has a neighbor $v_{j} \notin S$. Since $i<j, d_{i} \geq d_{j}$. Moreover, $v_{i}$ is not adjacent to $v_{1}$ while $v_{j}$ is. Together with $d_{i} \geq d_{j}$, this yields that there is $v_{k} \in V$ adjacent to $v_{i}$ but not to $v_{j}$.

Then the graph $G_{1}$ obtained from $G$ by deleting edges $v_{1} v_{j}$ and $v_{i} v_{k}$ and adding edges $v_{1} v_{i}$ and $v_{j} v_{k}$ is a simple graph with the same degree sequence as $G$. But in this graph, $v_{1}$ has more neighbors in $S$, contradicting (3).

