Lecture 6



Revisiting graphic degree sequences

Proof. (\implies) Suppose **d** is graphic. Among the simple graphs with degree sequence **d** and vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ where the degree of v_i is d_i for all *i*, choose a graph *G* in which

 v_1 has the most neighbors in $S = \{v_2, ..., v_{d_1+1}\}$. (1)

If $N_G(v_1) = S$, then the degree sequence of $G - v_1$ is **d**', and so **d**' is graphic. Thus assume v_1 is not adjacent to some $v_i \in S$.

In this case, v_1 has a neighbor $v_j \notin S$. Since i < j, $d_i \ge d_j$. And v_i is not adjacent to v_1 while v_j is. Together with $d_i \ge d_j$, this yields that there is $v_k \in V$ adjacent to v_i but not to v_j .

Then the graph G_1 obtained from G by deleting edges $v_1 v_j$ and $v_i v_k$ and adding edges $v_1 v_i$ and $v_j v_k$ is a simple graph with the same degree sequence as G. But in this graph, v_1 has more neighbors in S, contradicting (1).

Graphs are good to model symmetric binary relations, but often we need to model antisymmetric relations.

A directed graph (a digraph) is a pair consisting of a vertex set V = V(G), an edge set E = E(G) and a relation associating with each $e \in E(G)$ two vertices (not necessarily distinct) called its tail and head.

Graphs are good to model symmetric binary relations, but often we need to model antisymmetric relations.

A directed graph (a digraph) is a pair consisting of a vertex set V = V(G), an edge set E = E(G) and a relation associating with each $e \in E(G)$ two vertices (not necessarily distinct) called its tail and head.

One example is modeling a round-robin tournament.

Other examples are functional digraphs.

Graphs are good to model symmetric binary relations, but often we need to model antisymmetric relations.

A directed graph (a digraph) is a pair consisting of a vertex set V = V(G), an edge set E = E(G) and a relation associating with each $e \in E(G)$ two vertices (not necessarily distinct) called its tail and head.

One example is modeling a round-robin tournament.

Other examples are functional digraphs.

Out-neighbors and in-neighbors, degrees, outdegrees and indegrees of vertices in digraphs. Simple digraphs.

Adjacency and incidence matrices for digraphs: definitions and examples.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Proof. Every edge contributes exactly 1 for each of the two sums.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Proof. Every edge contributes exactly 1 for each of the two sums.

Paths, trails, walks and cycles in digraphs: Definitions and examples.

Since our paths and walks are directed, there could be that our digraph has a u, v-walk but has no v, u-walk.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Proof. Every edge contributes exactly 1 for each of the two sums.

Paths, trails, walks and cycles in digraphs: Definitions and examples.

Since our paths and walks are directed, there could be that our digraph has a u, v-walk but has no v, u-walk.

The **underlying graph** of a digraph *D* is the graph *G* s.t. V(G) = V(D) and every directed edge of *D* constitutes a unique (undirected) edge of *G*.

Connected and weakly connected digraphs.

To prove it, we can use an analog of Lemma 1.5: Lemma 1.13: If $d^+(v) = d^-(v)$ for every vertex v in G, then we can partition E(G) into (directed) cycles.

To prove it, we can use an analog of Lemma 1.5: Lemma 1.13: If $d^+(v) = d^-(v)$ for every vertex *v* in *G*, then we can partition E(G) into (directed) cycles.

Proof. As in Lemma 1.5, consider the longest (directed) paths in *G* and use induction on the number of edges.

To prove it, we can use an analog of Lemma 1.5: Lemma 1.13: If $d^+(v) = d^-(v)$ for every vertex *v* in *G*, then we can partition E(G) into (directed) cycles.

Proof. As in Lemma 1.5, consider the longest (directed) paths in *G* and use induction on the number of edges.

Proof of Theorem 1.12 now practically repeats the proof of Theorem 1.6 with Lemma 1.13 replacing Lemma 1.5: choose a largest circuit in G, and if does not contain all edges, then we are able to enlarge it.

de Bruijn graphs

The vertices of the de Bruijn graph B_n are the *n*-dimensional 0, 1-vectors.

And B_n has an edge from $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ to $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$ if and only if

$$\alpha_2 = \beta_1, \ \alpha_3 = \beta_2, \ldots, \alpha_n = \beta_{n-1}.$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

de Bruijn graphs



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで